

## America vs. Iran: "This Was an Act of War" : Part 1

Copyright 1994-2020 Bill's Bible Basics

Published On : January 8, 2020

Last Updated : January 8, 2020

NOTE: This series was written hours before American President Donald J. Trump publicly announced on national television that Iran had chosen to stand down following its retaliatory strikes against several military bases in Iraq.

USA Assassinates Qassem Soleimani, Global Divided Opinions, Don't Become Entangled With The World, Heated Vitriol, Iran Retaliates, Lindsey Graham: "This Was An Act Of War", The America/Iran Confrontation Was Planned Beginning Decades Ago, US Government Uses Foreign Leaders And Then Vilifies Them, George W. Bush And "Axis Of Evil", Pervez Musharraf Saddam Hussein And Osama Bin Laden, America Turns A Blind Eye To The Tyrants, USA Begins To Demonize North Korea And Iran, America's Ace In The Hole: The False WMD Accusation, Psychological Manipulation Of American Public, Get Ready For War, The Same Playbook: President Bush Iraq And Iran, Donald Trump Plays Right Into The Hands Of The Warmongers, Why Is American Military So Insistent On Remaining In Iraq?, Iraqi Parliament Voted To Oust U.S. Troops, Demands To Close U.S. Embassy In Iraq, Discredit - Demonize - Feign Patient Diplomacy - Attack, Sanctions And Then War, Israeli Threats, Iranian Oil Bourse: Threat To American Economy And Dominance, Regime Change: The American Way, Euro And The "Axis Of Evil"

As I write this series, the situation between the United States of America and the Donald J. Trump administration, and the Islamic Republic of Iran and Supreme Leader Sayyid Ali Hosseini Khamenei, is deteriorating by the hour. It was just five days ago during the very early hours of January 3, 2020, that American forces in Iraq, using drones, fired missiles and brazenly assassinated Qassem Soleimani, just after he had left his plane near Baghdad International Airport. Accused by the Trump administration of being responsible for the death of hundreds of American military personnel, and committing a lot of other evil acts, Mr. Soleimani was a major general in Iran's Islamic Revolutionary Guard Corps, as well as the

commander of its IRGC division known as the Quds Force. This elite, covert Iranian group was responsible for carrying out extraterritorial military and clandestine operations for the Iranian republic.

As was to be expected, this blatant elimination of Soleimani by American military forces resulted in a major uproar around the world. Naturally, some nations condemned the American action, while others applauded it. Even in the United States itself, people are very divided regarding this strike against a high-ranking member of Iran's military structure. It seems that even some of my Christian brethren are taking different sides in the issue as well, despite the fact that the Bible clearly teaches us to not entangle ourselves with the affairs of this life, as we can determine by the following verse. Let me mention here that the Apostle Paul is actually referring to spiritual warfare, and not to physical military warfare, as is made evident by other verses which we find in the Holy Scriptures:

"No man that warreth entangleth himself with the affairs of this life; that he may please him who hath chosen him to be a soldier."

2 Timothy 2:4, KJV

To continue, and as also was to be expected, following the assassination of Qassem Soleimani, the vitriol between the Trump administration and the leaders of Iran escalated to a fevered pitch, with each side threatening to wipe out the other if further violence ensued. If that was not enough, a rumor began to circulate which claimed that the leadership of Iran had placed an \$80 million bounty on Donald Trump's head. Furthermore, just as the United States threatened even more attacks against Iran if that nation tried to retaliate for the death of Soleimani, Iran likewise boasted that it was preparing to carry out its own revenge against American targets in the Middle East.

That is exactly what occurred today only hours ago. According to preliminary news reports, Iran has fired as many as fifteen ballistic missiles into Iraq, targeting the Al-Assad Air Base, as well as a military base in Erbil. What will come next is anyone's guess. However, if President Trump keeps his word, I cannot imagine that it will be good. War is always ugly, and nobody really wins. And make no mistake. This is a war. It is not just a conflict. The minute that the American military fired missiles at Soleimani's convoy, that was an

act of war against a sovereign nation. Likewise, the minute that Iran returned fire today, that was also an act of war. So don't let anyone fool you into thinking otherwise.

In fact, as some of you probably already know, this point was made perfectly clear on the popular American show "Hannity", when Republican Senator Lindsey Graham of South Carolina said "This was an act of war. By any reasonable definition. The missiles were launched from Iran. The president has all the authority he needs under Article II to respond." As I write this, we are only hours away from learning exactly what the American president intends to do next.

Having now laid out for you the basics of what we know so far, my real purpose in writing this series is to tell you the following. What we are seeing right now is not something which just developed over the past few days, weeks, months or even years. I have no doubt that what we have seen over the past five days were very calculated moves by both sides which were very long in the making. In fact, there is clear evidence which points to this confrontation between the USA and Iran having been planned, and prepared for, for at least the past eighteen years.

If you are one who pays close attention to the news, as I try to do, and if you know how to detect the signs and see the clues, then you probably realize that one does not have to be any kind of Prophet of God to know that the situation was coming to a head in the Middle East. So today, shortly after I learned of the retaliation by Iran, I decided to dig into my old articles, in order to determine what I had said regarding Iran, and when I had said it. As it turns out, I have been warning about this day for some seventeen years.

Having said that, the next part of this series will consist primarily of quotes extracted from my older articles. Being as these are excerpts, the information may seem a little bit disjointed. However, taken as a whole, these excerpts paint a very clear picture of what has been happening, as well as when it really all began. As you will see below, that would be sometime in 2002 when then president, George W. Bush, and his fellow warmongers -- Dick Cheney, Paul Wolfowitz, Donald Rumsfeld, et al -- began popularizing the phrase "Axis of Evil". These article excerpts will clearly reveal to you how for about the past eighteen years or so, America's political leaders have been slowly manipulating and indoctrinating the American public, in preparation for the current confrontation

with Iran.

To avoid any confusion on your part, I will be sharing these article excerpts with you in chronological order. So let us begin with a few paragraphs taken from part one of my 2003 series "Capture of Saddam Hussein and the New Babylon". This is one of the earliest articles where I describe how the American government will use foreign leaders to achieve its foreign policy objectives, and will then vilify those same leaders, and throw them on the trash heap, when they rebel and are no longer of use to Washington. Please also notice how I mention Washington's plans for Iran:

----- Begin Quote -----

"A classic example of this would be General Pervez Musharraf of Pakistan, who we have discussed extensively on our private mailing list. Mr. Musharraf is not a democratically-elected leader; he is a military dictator who came to power by way of a coup during the late 1990's, short and simple. In fact, a few months ago, General Musharraf, ahem, adjusted Pakistan's constitution so that he can remain in power for an additional five years. While he has promised democratic elections, that has yet to materialize. While the general was pressured into becoming America's ally during the recent war in Afghanistan, following the usual American modus operandi of "use them and abuse them", he has since been demonized and vilified, due to the alleged role that Pakistan has played in facilitating nuclear weapons technology to other nations.

Along the same lines, let us also not forget that during the bloody eight-year Iran-Iraq War, Saddam Hussein was likewise favored, and was considered an American ally; and was given both intelligence and technology, because Iran was viewed as a more serious threat to Middle East stability at that time, than was Iraq. Of course, in reality, America's real desire is to restructure both Iran and Iraq; but she will play one against the other when it suits her purposes.

In similar fashion, America's feared enemy, Osama bin Laden, was considered an American ally during the Soviet occupation of Afghanistan. As I have pointed out before, it is a proven fact that Osama bin Laden led the Muslim rebel forces in the mountains of Afghanistan, and was provided with intelligence, financial aid, and weapons by both Pakistan and the United States of America, in order to drive out the Soviets, which they finally succeeded in doing. If you doubt these claims,

then I encourage you to closely examine some of the news reports of the past, in order to verify these things for yourself.

In the mirky world of American foreign policy, one of the cardinal rules seems to be that as long as the leader of a certain country will bow to America's will, by promoting and supporting America's hegemonic agenda, that leader is free to govern their own nation as they wish. Be they president, prime minister, king, dictator or sheik, the United States will exercise a great deal of tolerance, and will even cast a blind eye upon any atrocities which that leader may inflict upon his own people, just as long as he quietly acquiesces to America's will. But just let that leader step out of line, or become a little too powerful or independent, and the American government will begin to press down upon him in the form of trade sanctions, vilification, threats, and if it is deemed necessary, even military intervention."

----- End Quote -----

The following excerpt is taken from part four of my 2007 series called "Alien Life, Extrasolar Planets and Universal Atonement". Notice again how thirteen years ago, I mentioned that the U.S. government had already begun the vilification and demonization of both North Korea and Iran. So again we see that the current war is not the culmination of events which began just a few years ago. Just like other national governments, the American government has long-term agendas which take it years to carry out:

----- Begin Quote -----

"Sadly, as others have also candidly stated, including the President of France, as well as Pakistani President Pervez Musharraf, Bush's foolish and unprovoked meddling in Iraq has indeed made the world a much more dangerous place. Not only has Iraq become a literal hellhole from which America will not escape for some years to come, but Iraq has also now become a magnet, and a fertile recruiting ground, for young Islamic radicals pouring in from all over the Middle East. They have seen what has happened there, and they are fearful that those crazy, warmongering Americans may attack their nation next, as Bush goes about trying to fulfill his "divine mission". Having gotten his way in Iraq, Mr. Bush and his cronies are now using the very same psychological tactics to demonize both North Korea and Iran; and Syria is

on their list of targets as well. It may only be a matter of time before President Bush reveals his next move."

----- End Quote -----

Following are some excerpts taken from part one of my 2007 series entitled "Saddam Hussein's Execution and the Euro Dollar War". Here again, I warned all my readers of how the US government had already begun to demonize and vilify Iran, and how it was using the very same tactic that it used with Iraq -- WMD -- to convince the American public that Iran is the enemy, and that it needed to be dealt with. In short, it had already begun the process of manipulating the American public, so that they would eventually accept a war against Iran. Again, we were told that the goal was to prevent Iran from acquiring nuclear weapons. This was thirteen years ago:

----- Begin Quote -----

"Before I share with you the piece of information which helped me to better understand what is happening in the Middle East, and why it is happening, allow me to backtrack just a bit and impart some other information, which is directly related to our discussion; and which in fact will help to confirm what I am about to tell you. As my regular readers know, for quite a few months now, even before it became such a large issue with so many writers, I have been telling my small audience that the U.S.A. was laying plans, in a psychological sense, as well as in a military sense, for a potential war against Iran. I have stated a number of times before that a country cannot legitimately go to war, until it has psychologically prepared its citizens for that war, and won their support.

The minute that Bush began demonizing Iran in his State Of the Union Address in January of 2002, with his talk of the so-called "Axis of Evil", comprised, according to Bush, of Iraq, Iran and North Korea, I began to suspect where he was headed. You didn't have to be a rocket scientist to see the proverbial writing on the wall. Month after month, as Bush and his war gang continued to psychologically manipulate the American public, and began to employ their ever-so-faithful wild card -- the WMD issue -- I became even more convinced of what Bush was up to.

In fact, as some of you may recall, I sat here dismayed, and couldn't believe that Bush had the audacity to play the very

same card again [with regards to Iran], in order to pull the wool over the eyes of the American people once more. But, it really shouldn't come as a surprise to any of us. As I explain in my "Capture Of Saddam Hussein" series, that tactic certainly worked in the case of the war in Iraq. Bush, Cheney, Rumsfeld, Powell and company repeatedly hit the American citizenry so hard with their fear and paranoia tactics -- such as with the infamous "mushroom cloud" over America speech -- that eventually, a substantial portion of American citizens were seeing things Bush's way, and lent their support for his illegal war in Iraq. With Powell as a spokesman, the Bush Administration tried to sell the same WMD ticket to the United Nations, but they saw through the smoke, and were very reluctant to hop onto the "Let's-Get-Saddam-Hussein" bandwagon.

But the main point I wish to emphasize here, is that Bush is doing it again; but this time with Iran. For months now, we have watched as the verbal battle has heated up to a fevered pitch. Over and over, American citizens have been told what a dangerous threat Iran will become, if it is permitted to join the nuclear club. Conservative Christians have particularly been the target of Bush's strategy, and are reminded of the serious dangers that Israel would face from a nuclear-armed Iran. Doesn't that sales pitch sound familiar? Wasn't Saddam Hussein painted in the very same light? And how did that WMD fairy tale turn out? While it is indeed true that Iran hasn't been exactly transparent in its dealings with the U.N. and the International Atomic Energy Agency, the fact is, that to this day, no one has been able to conclusively prove that Iran is determined to manufacture nuclear weapons.

I honestly don't know what Iran's intentions are. Publicly, Iran's president, Mahmoud Ahmadinejad, has denied that his country has any nuclear ambitions whatsoever. He has also made it clear that, based upon the tenets of the Nuclear Non-Proliferation Treaty, his nation has every right in the world to enrich uranium, in order to meet its electricity needs. At the same time, I do know that it is both immoral and unethical, and in contradiction of international norms of behavior, to base a war on mere speculations alone, as occurred when the U.S.A. attacked Iraq. Will Americans allow it to happen again with Iran?"

----- End Quote -----

Following are some additional excerpts taken from part two of

my 2007 series entitled "Saddam Hussein's Execution and the Euro Dollar War". Here again, I continued to explain to all my readers how since quite a few years earlier, the American government had been using the same tactics with Iran, that it used to convince the American public to support a war against Iraq. There is no difference really. For years, some powerful people in the US government have been bent on picking a fight with Iran. Sadly, now, all these years later, President Trump has done it for them. He has in effect played right into the hands of the warmongers. Figuratively speaking, he just went and punched Iran smack in the nose when he ordered the strike against Qassem Soleimani. In my view, there was no way that Iran could not respond to such a blatant act. It was forced to save face and fight back; which is probably what certain people in the US government wanted to happen, so that they would have a seemingly justifiable reason for doing whatever comes next.

As you continue reading these excerpts below from 2007, you will see that I finally reveal to my readers what I believe to be the real reason why the American government went after Saddam Hussein, and why it is now going after Iran. It has nothing to do with WMD. That is just the red herring, just as it was with Iraq. If you don't believe that, then ask yourself this: Even though Saddam has been dead for years; and even though WMD were never discovered; and even though ISIS has been supposedly defeated; why is the U.S. military still refusing to leave Iraq two decades after this whole mess began? Why does it want to remain there?

In fact, as many of you will no doubt have already heard, just two days ago, the Iraqi parliament voted to oust U.S. troops which are still stationed in Iraq. They don't want them in Iraq any longer. Not only that, but certain Iraqi politicians are now demanding that the U.S. Embassy in the heavily fortified Green Zone be shut down as well. Despite all of this strong opposition to their presence there, the Americans are still refusing to leave Iraq. Furthermore, as hard as it is to believe, not only that, but President Trump is now threatening sanctions, and is demanding that Iraq pay America for one of the bases that the Americans built there. It's crazy!:

----- Begin Quote -----

So ask yourself: Why was the U.S.A. so bent on going to war against Iraq, even when international opinion condemned just



such an act? And why is the the United States so determined now to give us a repeat performance, but this time with Iran? What's really going on? What are the true motivations behind America's behavior? Now, some of you reading this may say to yourselves, "Wait a minute! What is all of this talk about the U.S.A. attacking Iran? President Bush has no such plans! In fact, sanctions were just leveled against Iran by the UN."

Excuse me, but are you certain that Bush has no such plans? If you honestly believe that, then I wonder if you've really been paying attention to the news, and using your critical thought processes to their maximum potential. Do you really not see the parallels between how the Bush Administration demonized Saddam Hussein, used the WMD wild card, and then attacked Iraq, and how the same exact tactics are being used against Iran right now? It is the same familiar pattern all over again: discredit, demonize, feign patient diplomacy, and then attack! Here it is again:

Discredit - Demonize - Feign Patient Diplomacy - Attack

Yes, sanctions were just recently implemented against Iran; but wasn't that same action taken against Iraq for many years as well? Didn't the Americans and the British set up no-fly zones over Iraq? In the end, the Iraqi people were hurt more by the sanctions than Saddam Hussein and the Baathists were. I was just looking at an Associated Press news article that I shared with the members of our mailing list in July of 2000. This article clearly states that it was the U.N. sanctions, which were imposed following Saddam's invasion of Kuwait, and the Gulf War, which crippled the economy of Iraq, and drove literally millions of Iraqis into poverty. We can argue that it was Saddam's fault that the sanctions were imposed in the first place, but the truth is, that the United Nations, under American pressure, implemented the sanctions, which resulted in millions of Iraqis suffering:

----- Begin Quote -----

"Saddam made no mention of the U.N. trade sanctions which have crippled the economy and driven millions of Iraqis into poverty. The sanctions were imposed after Iraq's 1990 invasion of Kuwait, which led to the Gulf War."

----- End Quote -----

In spite of the sanctions, and the no-fly zones, is it not

true that the USA still ended up attacking Iraq anyway, and against world opinion at that? So what makes you so certain that the current sanctions against Iran are going to deter the Bush Administration from attacking Iran, if and when it feels that the time is right?

You see, despite outward appearances, I suspect that Iran's adamant refusal to discontinue processing its uranium, may be exactly what the United States wants, and may even be working to the US's favor. Why? Because then, just as with Iraq, the United States can go to the U.N., and say "See! The sanctions aren't working; something more drastic needs to be done in order to stop the uranium enrichment being done by Iran."

Let me remind you again that the Bush Administration has repeatedly said, insofar as Iran is concerned, all options are still on the table; and that obviously includes military options. Of course, it doesn't necessarily mean that the US will be the one to crack the whip. As you may have heard on the news, or read somewhere on the Internet, there has been some talk that Israel may decide to strike first, in order to knock out Iran's uranium enrichment capabilities; just as she took similar action against Iraq, when Israeli Prime Minister Menachem Begin ordered the attack against the Osirak nuclear power plant in 1981. These rumors could turn out to be true, or they may just be a diversionary tactic. Based on what I know now, I suspect that the United States is looking for a more permanent solution to their problem; just as they did with Iraq. Destroying Natanz, for example, won't eliminate the real problem.

You see, what you need to understand is that the uranium enrichment issue is not the real problem; at least I don't think it is. Were WMD the real problem in Iraq, or was that just the red herring; that is, the excuse that America used to attack Iraq? I think most of you already know the answer to that question, or at least you should. So that brings us back around to our main questions again: If WMD wasn't the real problem that the USA was having with Iraq, then what was? And if uranium enrichment, which the USA alleges, will ultimately result in Iran manufacturing nuclear weapons, is not the real problem now, then what is?

What if I were to tell you that the problem, or perhaps I should really be saying the threat, that the United States perceived from Iraq, and now perceives from Iran, are one and the same? What kind of threat could possibly convince

the American government, in spite of world opinion being totally against it, that it must go to war?

But let's get back to our discussion regarding Iraq and Iran. So how did Iraq threaten American dominance? What did Saddam Hussein do that caused King George -- Bush -- to say "Off with Saddam's head!"? He did the exact same thing that Iran is doing now. What is Iran doing that is so threatening to the United States? Actually, I have already given you the answer; or at least what I now believe may be the real answer. It is the Iranian Oil Bourse. It's quite possible that I read about this years ago, and just forgot about it; but according to Professor Petrov, and other sources, in late 2000, Saddam Hussein decided, or perhaps "insisted" is a more appropriate word, that he would only accept Euros for Iraqi oil, just as Iran is doing now. In his commentary, Professor Petrov states in part:

----- Begin Quote -----

"The man that actually did demand Euro for his oil was Saddam Hussein in 2000. At first, his demand was met with ridicule, later with neglect, but as it became clearer that he meant business, political pressure was exerted to change his mind. When other countries, like Iran, wanted payment in other currencies, most notably Euro and Yen, the danger to the dollar was clear and present, and a punitive action was in order."

"Bush's Shock-and-Awe in Iraq was not about Saddam's nuclear capabilities, about defending human rights, about spreading democracy, or even about seizing oil fields; it was about defending the dollar, ergo the American Empire. It was about setting an example that anyone who demanded payment in currencies other than U.S. Dollars would be likewise punished."

----- End Quote -----

As Petrov states, if Saddam would have gotten away with it, other OPEC countries may have very well followed suit. In fact, as you can see, Iran was very quick to hop on the Euro bandwagon, because it wanted to hurt the United States, the "Great Satan", just as bad as Saddam did. As we have already seen, the United States of America simply couldn't allow it to happen; just as it cannot allow it to happen now with Iran; because the success of the Iranian Oil Bourse would

eventually result in the destruction of the U.S. economy, and as a by-product, terminate America's dominance in the world.

So that, my friends, is what I now believe, and what others also believe, is the real problem; as it is perceived from America's perspective. It has absolutely nothing to do with real WMD in either Iraq or Iran. In both instances, WMD has only been the red herring that the Bush Administration has used to try to deceive the American people, and fool the rest of the world. The real problem, the real threat to the American Empire, as Professor Petrov referred to it, is the simple fact that both Iraq and Iran chose to convert their economies to the Euro. They decided to accept Euros, instead of U.S. dollars, for their oil exports, and that is a direct threat to the U.S. dollar's current standing as the world's currency reserve. In a February 21, 2003 commentary entitled "Why Black Americans Should Oppose Bush's War -- Of Oil, The Euro And Africa", Dr. Sonja Ebron, CEO of "blackEnergy" says it very well when she tells us that the real WMD in Iraq and Iran, is Euros. She writes:

----- Begin Quote -----

"Enter the real "weapon of mass destruction," the euro. Eleven European countries formed a monetary union around this currency on January 1, 1999; Britain and Norway, the major European oil producers, were conspicuously absent. Due to the strength of European economies, the euro now presents a serious challenge to the dollar in its role as key reserve currency."

"Given the highly leveraged and fragile state of our economy, an OPEC switch from the dollar to the euro would bring a quick and devastating dollar and Wall Street crash that would make 1929 look like a \$50 casino bet."

----- End Quote -----

So what did the United States do to try to stop Saddam from carrying out his plan? News articles from that time period make it very clear what America did. As we saw a moment ago, the Iraqi economy had already been crippled by almost ten years of U.N. sanctions, and millions of people were out of work. In addition to this, the country had been sliced up by the Americans and the British into three sections, with a no-fly zone in the northern sector, and another no-fly zone

in the south. In spite of these tactics, Saddam was still in power. During the same year that Saddam began making demands for Euros in exchange for Iraqi oil -- 2000 -- the American Government, under President William Jefferson Clinton, made it very clear what its intentions were regarding the Iraqi leader. One way or another, they were determined to get him out of office.

So in essence, according to the American strategy, as viewed by Dr. Ebron and Professor Petrov, any world leader who would attempt to switch their country's economy from a dollar-based economy to a Euro-based economy, would automatically become a target of American aggression, and would also be eligible for elimination, if they didn't back down from their decision. In other words, this was Clinton and Bush's "regime change" plan in action.

As crazy as that may seem to some people, I am convinced that it's exactly what the United States decided to do; and she's proven it by her very own actions. Please also note that in her previous comments, Dr. Ebron states in parentheses "hence, the "axis of evil". As you will recall, earlier we discussed how President George W. Bush assigned that description to the nations of Iraq, Iran and North Korea. According to the Bush propaganda, this is supposedly because all three countries have been illegally striving to create nuclear weapons.

As I stated at the very beginning of this article, when it comes to the American government, things are not always what they seem; and this is clearly a case of that. For years now, the American government has been feeding us a distorted picture regarding this entire issue. Millions of American citizens are currently convinced that the reason why George W. Bush has gone after Iraq, Iran and North Korea, that is, their "Axis of Evil", is because of WMD. It's bogus. It's a lie. It's an utter deception being used to hide the truth from all of us.

All of this makes perfect sense to me. It fits like a hand in a glove. All three nations, Iraq, Iran and North Korea became victims of America's WMD lies and accusations, and all three nations chose to drop the dollar, and move to a Euro-based economy. Do you think that this is just merely a coincidence? I most certainly don't."

----- End Quote -----

Please go to part two for the continuation of this series.

Written by Bill Kochman

wordweaver777@gmail.com

<https://www.billkochman.com>

America vs. Iran: "This Was an Act of War" : Part 2

Copyright 1994-2020 Bill's Bible Basics

Published On : January 8, 2020

Last Updated : January 8, 2020

America Begins To Demonize Iran In Early 2000s, America Plays The WMD Card, USA Returns To Iraq, Rise And Fall Of Islamic State, Obama's Warmongering: Iraq Libya Afghanistan Pakistan, America's Unending Cycle Of War: Syria North Korea And Iran, America Fights To Take What It Wants, I've Warned About This For Seventeen Years, America Pushing For Iran War Since 2002, George W. Bush And Donald Trump Both Surrounded By Christians But War Still Comes, US Presidents And Their Broken Promises, Democrats And Republicans: Two Heads On Same Snake, Elite In Washington Do As They Please, Different Party Policies But The Long-Term Goals Remain The Same, The Behind-The Scenes Controllers, What Will Trump Announce After Retaliation By Iran?, My Position Regarding American Strike Against Iran, My Longtime Opposition To Violence And War, Biblical Basis, Scriptural Mandate For Christians To Remain Set Apart From The World, Misguided Christians Support And Root For Trump's Strike Against Iran, Blinded By American Patriotism And Infatuation With Donald Trump, God Alone Raises Up Leaders

Continuing our discussion from part one, following are some additional excerpts taken from part four of my 2007 series called "Saddam Hussein's Execution and the Euro Dollar War". As you will clearly see, these excerpts again reveal how as far back as February of 2003, the American government had already begun to demonize Iran, and its Supreme Leader, Ayatollah Seyed Ali Khamenei, by using the WMD card against it; exactly as it had done with Saddam Hussein and Iraq for some time prior to the invasion of that nation. Unless one

is willfully blind, it is really impossible to not see the similarities between these two events. Furthermore, just as the tactics have been the same, so has the endgame; as we are now seeing with the currently-erupting war between the United States and the Islamic Republic of Iran:

----- Begin Quote -----

Ironically, even before the invasion of Iraq had occurred, the American Government had already put Iran on its radar screen, and had begun to demonize it and its leadership, just as it had done with Saddam Hussein. As a clear example of this, consider that an article published in the New York Times on February 22, 2003, stated the following:

----- Begin Quote -----

"American officials believe Natanz is part of a long suspected nuclear weapons program, an Iranian project that American intelligence believes has benefited from Pakistani assistance and that is far more advanced than the effort by Iraq."

"Iran will attempt to justify Natanz as part of its civilian nuclear power program, but it is actually an effort to develop a nuclear weapons breakout capability," said Gary Samore, director of studies at the International Institute for Strategic Studies and former expert on proliferation on President Bill Clinton's National Security Council."

----- End Quote -----

Please carefully notice what kinds of verbal strategies are being employed in the previous statements. American officials "believe". Uh-oh . . . Belief is not proof, is it? We can all believe a lot of things, but it doesn't necessarily mean that they are true. Then we are told that these American officials have "long suspected" that Natanz is part of a nuclear weapons program. Again, just having a suspicion isn't proof of anything, and does not make it so. Despite this obvious lack of solid evidence, in the second paragraph, this fellow Samore, who we are told is an expert in his field, has the audacity to try to tell us exactly what Natanz is; and he obviously expects us to believe him, even though he hasn't offered us a single solid shred of evidence. We are supposed to accept that Natanz is "an effort to develop a nuclear weapons breakout capability", just because he says so. We

are supposed to believe him because he is the expert.

Let me also add, that just as the U.S. and Britain turned their people against Saddam Hussein, they have been taking steps to do the very same thing with Ayatollah Seyed Ali Khamenei, Iran's supreme spiritual leader, as well as with Mahmoud Ahmadinejad, Iran's current radical president. In the case of Khamenei, the American mass media has painted him as a dangerous, power-hungry, Muslim extremist; and in the case of Mahmoud Ahmadinejad, we are purposely given the impression that he is just an anti-semitic kook who is not worthy of the American Government's attention. In their own arrogance, the Bush administration considers Ahmadinejad a joke, and they won't even acknowledge any of his comments or diplomatic gestures."

----- End Quote -----

These next excerpts are taken from part one of my 2009 article called "Who is the Real Terrorist?". They discuss the return of American troops to Iraq, the rise and fall of the Islamic State, the ongoing instability in the Iraqi government, the growing power of anti-American Shi'ite cleric, Muqtada al-Sadr and his coalition, the warmongering of U.S. president Barack Hussein Obama, and the fact that the United States of America is involved in an unending cycle of war for some very specific reasons. Read on:

----- Begin Quote -----

"While America's war in that country [Iraq] has been declared over, and while most U.S. soldiers left Iraq by December of 2011, in accordance with the U.S. - Iraq Status of Forces Agreement, as many of you will know, with the rise of the Islamic State, the American military intervened in Iraq once again in 2014. While the Islamic State has now been declared defeated in both Iraq and Syria, it is my understanding that there are still an undetermined number of American troops in Iraq, who supposedly serve as "advisors".

Furthermore, Iraq still remains politically unstable with a lot of infighting occurring between the different political factions. The latest news at this time is that the coalition of radical, anti-American Shi'ite cleric, Muqtada al-Sadr, has emerged as the frontrunner in Iraq's national elections. During the height of the war, al-Sadr's "Mahdi Army" engaged in violent conflicts against American troops. Since the 2003



start of the war, al-Sadr has protested America's presence in his nation.

As if all of this war and destruction wasn't already enough, even with the election of a Democratic president -- Barack Hussein Obama -- in 2008, the United States continued to wage war in Iraq for another three years until 2011. But that is not all. Obama increased troop levels in Afghanistan, and he went after Al-Qaeda leader, Osama bin Laden, in Pakistan; who was eventually, and supposedly, killed by US Special Forces. But Obama's warmongering did not end there. He also ordered military involvement in the North African nation of Libya. As a result, Libya's strongman leader, Muammar el-Gaddafi, was killed by NATO-assisted forces. Now, Libya is in a mess, just as Iraq is in a mess. This is what America leaves behind when it wages war in another nation.

While all of this was going on, the USA also continued with its practice of demonizing Iran, Syria and North Korea; even though none of those nations pose any kind of direct military threat to the continental United States. As many of you will know, while a deal was eventually reached with Iran so that it would desist in its alleged pursuit of a nuclear weapon, now President Trump wants to scrap that deal, which he views as being lopsided and weak. We have already discussed Syria. Despite America's best efforts to dethrone the man, Bashar al-Assad still remains in power. We likewise also discussed North Korea. Soon enough, we will know who is playing who in that political game.

Clearly then, continual war has been America's modus operandi for decades, just as King David wrote. And why has this been? Is it truly because the American people have been threatened by a foreign military invasion? No; it's just because America wants certain things from other countries, whether it is some natural resource, or a strategic location, or maybe a puppet government which will do America's bidding. As I said before, the United States will fight tooth and nail in order to get what it wants."

----- End Quote -----

Finally, we come to one of my more recent articles. Following is an excerpt taken from part two of my 2019 series entitled "To Vote, or Not to Vote". Please notice that while I state in this excerpt that I've been warning my online friends "for a few years now" regarding the coming war with Iran, clearly,

that was an understatement on my part. As we have now seen through of all the previous excerpts, I have actually been warning my readers about this current war for seventeen full years, since 2003. That is how long certain individuals in the American government have been pushing for war against Iran. That is how long they have been slowly planning it, as well as preparing the American people for it, through their subtle campaign of psychological manipulation, as well as their continuous demonization of the leadership of Iran.

In fact, as we learned earlier, the makings of this war were in the works even before that, as is clearly evidenced by President George W. Bush's "Axis of Evil" characterization in 2002. So whether the American public realized it or not, the warmongers of Washington, D.C. were already revealing their long-term plans for the Muslim nations of the Middle East to us back then:

----- Begin Quote -----

"Yet, sadly, as I have been warning for a few years now, that is exactly where the United States is headed once again with its heated exchanges with the Islamic government of Iran. It is very clearly the Iraq playbook all over again. Demonize the leadership in the eyes of the American public, and then declare war. While the nasty war hawks have been pressuring President Donald Trump to take on Iran, in the latest news I have been reading, Trump has been resisting their efforts thus far. But I must ask how much longer this will really go on. Just as Trump is currently surrounded by Vice President Mike Pence and other Christians in his cabinet, George W. Bush was too; and we all know that it led to an eight-year long war in Iraq. That ugly war resulted in thousands upon thousands of dead or wounded; particularly on the Iraqi side. Mr. Bush seemed to have some kind of a messiah complex. Does Trump too? Will he make the same mistake as his predecessor?"

----- End Quote -----

In answer to my own question from May of last year, as we all know, tragically, despite his campaign promises to end the vicious cycle of America's involvement in foreign wars, just like so many other American presidents before him who have gone back on their campaign promises time and time again, President Trump took a U-turn five days ago when he ordered the assassination of Qassem Soleimani; thus guaranteeing that the United States would be pulled into yet another war in the

Middle East. Make no mistake. The man is not stupid. He knew exactly what he was doing when he made that decision; and yet he did it anyway. So what does that tell you?

This development once again confirms what I have been saying for many years now. And that is that whether a U.S. president is Democrat or Republican, it really does not make much of a difference; because in the long term, they are two heads on the same political snake. If there is one thing the American public should have learned by now, after being duped so many times, it is that the powerful Washington elite will do as they please, and the American public be damned. If the elite want to start another war, they will drag the American public into it, kicking and screaming if they have to.

Yes, in the short term, America's major political parties may have some policy differences, and one party may seem better for the American public than the other insofar as jobs and social programs and other things are concerned. But make no mistake. Their long-term goals remain the same, because they are under the control of the very same powerful individuals. Exactly who are these people? Just take a very close look at the people who surround each new president, and maybe you will be able to figure it out. If not, then I encourage you to read my controversial series entitled "Welcome to Greater Israel, USA!", which you will find listed at the end of this current series.

As I noted earlier, as I write this, we are only hours away from the American president giving a speech to the public, in which he will no doubt address Iran's retaliation against American military assets in Iraq. In my view, there are only two ways in which this will go. Either he will back up all of his rhetoric and threats against Iran with more military action against that nation, or else he will attempt to scale back the situation by claiming that America's objective was achieved, and that being as no harm came to American military personnel in Iraq as a result of the Iranian retaliation, no further military action is required at this current time.

Of course, even as I write this, American politicians and pundits are already weighing in on the situation with their opinions. The warmongers are calling for more retribution against Iran, while more level-headed individuals are warning to pull back before it is too late, and America finds itself immersed in another full-fledged, drawn-out, destructive war which will take many lives on both sides. The dilemma, of

course, is this: If President Trump draws back and resorts to more sanctions, or something similar, the Iranians are most certainly going to view it as a sign of weakness. They will say to themselves "We called his bluff with our attack on the bases in Iraq, and he drew back." So what happens during the next few hours is very important.

Before concluding this series, I would like to address my fellow Christian brethren regarding something which has been on my mind for the past several days. As was to be expected in a situation such as we are now facing, the Internet has been abuzz regarding the events which have transpired over the past week in Iraq. Everyone has been taking up their own position and expressing their views. This includes many of my own Christian brethren. Considering what I have shared in this series, I am sure that some of you are probably wondering how I view all of this. Because I have exposed how long this current confrontation has been in the making, some of you may even be led to believe that I empathize with Iran. So let me state the following:

For the record, I am not saying that Qassem Soleimani was not an evil man, or that he was not planning to engage in more wickedness as the Trump administration has claimed. As to whether or not he deserved to die is not for me to say. The truth is, before the events of this past week, I didn't even know who he was. I had never even heard of him, and I suspect that many of you are in the very same boat. If the American news reports are to be believed, and if Mr. Trump is telling the truth, then Soleimani certainly had a lot of blood on his hands. But again, I honestly don't know what the truth is, because the mainstream media propaganda organ has no doubt been working overtime to convince us of one view or another. After all, as we have already seen, the government of the United States always has to find a way to justify its military actions against its perceived enemies. Thus, it will demonize them for many months and years until the American public embraces their view and is properly prepped for war.

On the opposite side of the spectrum, I have also read that Soleimani was very instrumental in helping to defeat ISIS. Obviously, you will not find this important piece of info being blasted in the American news media. After all, doing so would detract from the narrative that Mr. Trump and his associates are trying to paint regarding Mr. Soleimani. He must be painted as the worst of the worst, so that Trump's

decision to kill him appears righteous and justified.

As many of my longtime readers will already know, for many years now, I have voiced my disapproval of all manner of violence and war. As a Bible-believing Christian, I remain convinced by the Scriptures that this is the position which should be taken by every Christian. For any of my readers who may not be familiar with the Biblical basis for taking this position, allow me to once again share the following sample verses with you:

"Some trust in chariots, and some in horses: but we will remember the name of the LORD our God."

Psalms 20:7, KJV

"But the meek shall inherit the earth; and shall delight themselves in the abundance of peace."

Psalms 37:11, KJV

"My soul hath long dwelt with him that hateth peace. I am for peace: but when I speak, they are for war."

Psalms 120:6-7, KJV

"And he shall judge among the nations, and shall rebuke many people: and they shall beat their swords into plowshares, and their spears into pruninghooks: nation shall not lift up sword against nation, neither shall they learn war any more."

Isaiah 2:4, KJV

"And he shall judge among many people, and rebuke strong nations afar off; and they shall beat their swords into plowshares, and their spears into pruninghooks: nation shall not lift up a sword against nation, neither shall they learn war any more."

Micah 4:3, KJV

"Blessed are the meek: for they shall inherit the earth . . . Blessed are the peacemakers: for they shall be called the children of God . . . But I say unto you, Love your enemies, bless them that curse you, do good to them that hate you, and pray for them which despitefully use you, and persecute you; That ye may be the children of your Father which is in heaven: for he maketh his sun to rise on the evil and on the good, and sendeth rain on the just and on the unjust."

Matthew 5:5, 9, 44-45, KJV

"And, behold, one of them which were with Jesus [meaning the Apostle Peter] stretched out his hand, and drew his sword, and struck a servant of the high priest's, and smote off his ear. Then said Jesus unto him, Put up again thy sword into his place: for all they that take the sword shall perish with the sword. Thinkest thou that I cannot now pray to my Father, and he shall presently give me more than twelve legions of angels?"

Matthew 26:51-53, KJV

"And it came to pass, when the time was come that he should be received up, he stedfastly set his face to go to Jerusalem, And sent messengers before his face: and they went, and entered into a village of the Samaritans, to make ready for him. And they did not receive him, because his face was as though he would go to Jerusalem. And when his disciples James and John saw this, they said, Lord, wilt thou that we command fire to come down from heaven, and consume them, even as Elias did? But he turned, and rebuked them, and said, Ye know not what manner of spirit ye are of. For the Son of man is not come to destroy men's lives, but to save them. And they went to another village."

Luke 9:51-56, KJV

"When they which were about him saw what would follow, they said unto him, Lord, shall we smite with the sword? And one of them smote the servant of the high priest, and cut off his right ear. And Jesus answered and said, Suffer ye thus far. And he touched his ear, and healed him."

Luke 22:49-51, KJV

"Then Simon Peter having a sword drew it, and smote the high priest's servant, and cut off his right ear. The servant's name was Malchus. Then said Jesus unto Peter, Put up thy sword into the sheath: the cup which my Father hath given me, shall I not drink it?"

John 18:10-11, KJV

"If it be possible, as much as lieth in you, live peaceably with all men."

Romans 12:18, KJV

"(For the weapons of our warfare are not carnal, but mighty through God to the pulling down of strong holds;)"

2 Corinthians 10:4, KJV

"From whence come wars and fightings among you? come they

not hence, even of your lusts that war in your members? Ye lust, and have not: ye kill, and desire to have, and cannot obtain: ye fight and war, yet ye have not, because ye ask not. Ye ask, and receive not, because ye ask amiss, that ye may consume it upon your lusts."

James 4:1-3, KJV

"And the nations were angry, and thy wrath is come, and the time of the dead, that they should be judged, and that thou shouldest give reward unto thy servants the prophets, and to the saints, and them that fear thy name, small and great; and shouldest destroy them which destroy the earth."

Revelation 11:18, KJV

"He that leadeth into captivity shall go into captivity: he that killeth with the sword must be killed with the sword. Here is the patience and the faith of the saints."

Revelation 13:10, KJV

Aside from the Bible's teachings regarding violence and war, as I briefly mentioned in part one, the Scriptures also tell us that as the children of the Most High, we should strive to remain separate from the world as much as possible, and to not become entangled in its affairs. That most certainly includes not supporting or becoming involved in its hellish wars. Following are another group of verses which likewise make this Biblical teaching abundantly clear:

"If ye were of the world, the world would love his own: but because ye are not of the world, but I have chosen you out of the world, therefore the world hateth you."

John 15:19, KJV

"I have given them thy word; and the world hath hated them, because they are not of the world, even as I am not of the world. I pray not that thou shouldest take them out of the world, but that thou shouldest keep them from the evil."

John 17:14-15, KJV

"Jesus answered, My kingdom is not of this world: if my kingdom were of this world, then would my servants fight, that I should not be delivered to the Jews: but now is my kingdom not from hence."

John 18:36, KJV

"And they that use this world, as not abusing it: for the fashion of this world passeth away."

1 Corinthians 7:31, KJV

"While we look not at the things which are seen, but at the things which are not seen: for the things which are seen are temporal; but the things which are not seen are eternal."

2 Corinthians 4:18, KJV

"Wherefore come out from among them, and be ye separate, saith the Lord, and touch not the unclean thing; and I will receive you,"

2 Corinthians 6:17, KJV

"If ye then be risen with Christ, seek those things which are above, where Christ sitteth on the right hand of God. Set your affection on things above, not on things on the earth."

Colossians 3:1-2, KJV

"But godliness with contentment is great gain. For we brought nothing into this world, and it is certain we can carry nothing out."

1 Timothy 6:6-7, KJV

"No man that warreth entangleth himself with the affairs of this life; that he may please him who hath chosen him to be a soldier."

2 Timothy 2:4, KJV

"These all died in faith, not having received the promises, but having seen them afar off, and were persuaded of them, and embraced them, and confessed that they were strangers and pilgrims on the earth. For they that say such things declare plainly that they seek a country. And truly, if they had been mindful of that country from whence they came out, they might have had opportunity to have returned. But now they desire a better country, that is, an heavenly: wherefore God is not ashamed to be called their God: for he hath prepared for them a city."

Hebrews 11:13-16, KJV

"Ye adulterers and adulteresses, know ye not that the friendship of the world is enmity with God? whosoever therefore will be a friend of the world is the enemy of God."

James 4:4, KJV

"Love not the world, neither the things that are in the



world. If any man love the world, the love of the Father is not in him. For all that is in the world, the lust of the flesh, and the lust of the eyes, and the pride of life, is not of the Father, but is of the world."

1 John 2:15-16, KJV

To my shock, despite what all of the previous verses reveal regarding Christians striving to remain separate from this present evil world, and not supporting or becoming involved in its many hellish wars, over the past several days, I have been troubled to discover that many of my American Christian friends on Facebook have been quick to stand up and publicly applaud the actions which were just taken by U.S. president, Donald J. Trump. Some of them are even rooting for further military action against Iran. Of course, if we consider that just like former President George W. Bush, Donald Trump is supposedly surrounded by Christians in his cabinet, and yet still made the decision that he did, should we really be so surprised that some of his Christian constituents are quick to support his strike against Qassem Soleimani?

My friends, I must wonder if those of you who do this have become blinded to the aforementioned Scriptural truth due to your American patriotism, and your infatuation with Donald Trump. Yes, as Americans, he is your president. And, yes, as I have pointed out many times before, the Scriptures do in fact make it very clear that God alone is the one who raises up -- and also takes down -- political leaders, whether they are good or evil, as we can clearly see by the following set of verses:

"Let every soul be subject unto the higher powers. For there is no power but of God: the powers that be are ordained of God."

Romans 13:1-2, KJV

"Lift not up your horn on high: speak not with a stiff neck. For promotion cometh neither from the east, nor from the west, nor from the south. But God is the judge: he putteth down one, and setteth up another."

Psalms 75:5-7, KJV

"Daniel answered and said, Blessed be the name of God for ever and ever: for wisdom and might are his: And he changeth the times and the seasons: he removeth kings, and setteth up kings: he giveth wisdom unto the wise, and knowledge to them that know understanding:"

Daniel 2:20-21, KJV

"This matter is by the decree of the watchers, and the demand by the word of the holy ones: to the intent that the living may know that the most High ruleth in the kingdom of men, and giveth it to whomsoever he will, and setteth up over it the basest of men."

Daniel 4:17, KJV

"I have made the earth, the man and the beast that are upon the ground, by my great power and by my outstretched arm, and have given it unto whom it seemed meet unto me."

Jeremiah 27:5, KJV

"The king's heart is in the hand of the LORD, as the rivers of water: he turneth it whithersoever he will."

Proverbs 21:1, KJV

"For rebellion is as the sin of witchcraft, and stubbornness is as iniquity and idolatry. Because thou hast rejected the word of the LORD, he hath also rejected thee from being king. And Saul said unto Samuel, I have sinned: for I have transgressed the commandment of the LORD, and thy words: because I feared the people, and obeyed their voice. Now therefore, I pray thee, pardon my sin, and turn again with me, that I may worship the LORD. And Samuel said unto Saul, I will not return with thee: for thou hast rejected the word of the LORD, and the LORD hath rejected thee from being king over Israel."

1 Samuel 15:23-26, KJV

"And upon a set day Herod, arrayed in royal apparel, sat upon his throne, and made an oration unto them. And the people gave a shout, saying, It is the voice of a god, and not of a man. And immediately the angel of the Lord smote him, because he gave not God the glory: and he was eaten of worms, and gave up the ghost."

Acts 12:21-23, KJV

Please go to part three for the conclusion of this series.

Written by Bill Kochman

wordweaver777@gmail.com

<https://www.billkochman.com>

## America vs. Iran: "This Was an Act of War" : Part 3

Copyright 1994-2020 Bill's Bible Basics

Published On : January 8, 2020

Last Updated : January 8, 2020

Bible Obligates Christians To Respect And Obey Governmental Authorities, God's Word And God's Laws Take Precedence Over Man's Laws, Not Our Place To Stand Up And Root For Children Of The World When They Resort To Acts Of Violence, Come Out From Among Them, Check Your Heart, Conclusion, Reading List

Continuing our discussion from part two, and taking this a step further, not only does the Bible inform us that it is God alone who raises up leaders, but it also clearly teaches us that we should strive to respect and obey governmental authorities. That is, as long as what they do -- and tell us to do -- aligns with our Christian beliefs. After all, God's Word and God's Laws always take precedence over man's laws and man's word, despite what liberal judges and politicians may think! Furthermore, as the following verses reveal, the authorities bear the sword and punish evil doers by God's own design:

"Agree with thine adversary quickly, whiles thou art in the way with him; lest at any time the adversary deliver thee to the judge, and the judge deliver thee to the officer, and thou be cast into prison. Verily I say unto thee, Thou shalt by no means come out thence, till thou hast paid the uttermost farthing."

Matthew 5:25-26, KJV

"They say unto him, Caesar's. Then saith he unto them, Render therefore unto Caesar the things which are Caesar's; and unto God the things that are God's."

Matthew 22:21, KJV

"And Jesus answering said unto them, Render to Caesar the things that are Caesar's, and to God the things that are God's. And they marvelled at him."

Mark 12:17, KJV

"And he said unto them, Render therefore unto Caesar the things which be Caesar's, and unto God the things which be God's."

Luke 20:25, KJV

"If it be possible, as much as lieth in you, live peaceably with all men."

Romans 12:18, KJV

"Let every soul be subject unto the higher powers. For there is no power but of God: the powers that be are ordained of God. Whosoever therefore resisteth the power, resisteth the ordinance of God: and they that resist shall receive to themselves damnation. For rulers are not a terror to good works, but to the evil. Wilt thou then not be afraid of the power? do that which is good, and thou shalt have praise of the same: For he is the minister of God to thee for good. But if thou do that which is evil, be afraid; for he beareth not the sword in vain: for he is the minister of God, a revenger to execute wrath upon him that doeth evil. Wherefore ye must needs be subject, not only for wrath, but also for conscience sake."

Romans 13:1-5, KJV

"These things speak, and exhort, and rebuke with all authority. Let no man despise thee. Put them in mind to be subject to principalities and powers, to obey magistrates, to be ready to every good work, To speak evil of no man, to be no brawlers, but gentle, shewing all meekness unto all men."

Titus 2:15-3:2, KJV

"Dearly beloved, I beseech you as strangers and pilgrims, abstain from fleshly lusts, which war against the soul; Having your conversation honest among the Gentiles: that, whereas they speak against you as evildoers, they may by your good works, which they shall behold, glorify God in the day of visitation. Submit yourselves to every ordinance of man for the Lord's sake: whether it be to the king, as supreme; Or unto governors, as unto them that are sent by him for the punishment of evildoers, and for the praise of them that do well. For so is the will of God, that with well doing ye may put to silence the ignorance of foolish men: As free, and not using your liberty for a cloke of maliciousness, but as the servants of God. Honour all men. Love the brotherhood. Fear God. Honour the king."

1 Peter 2:11-17, KJV

Now, on the surface, the previous verses may seem to justify supporting and rooting for President Trump's recent actions against Iran, and particularly against Qassem Soleimani and his companions at the Baghdad International Airport. However, the truth is, that in order to arrive at such an erroneous conclusion, one has to totally ignore all of the other verses I shared with you in part two regarding remaining separate from the world, not becoming entangled in its affairs, and being opposed to all manner of war and violence. Can you see that now? I certainly hope so. In short, it is not our place as Christians to stand up and root for the children of the world -- and President Trump is most certainly of the world in many ways -- when they feel the need to resort to acts of violence. As I have said before, they are the children of the world, and they will do what they will do, but please do not be partakers of their sins by supporting them in such cases. As we read in part two, the Apostle Paul wrote as follows:

"Wherefore come out from among them, and be ye separate, saith the Lord, and touch not the unclean thing; and I will receive you,"

2 Corinthians 6:17, KJV

In conclusion -- and I say this in love -- I think that some of you really need to check your hearts. Is it possible that some of you have hardened your hearts against God's Word, and that you have intentionally rejected what the aforementioned verses clearly state, because they go against what you want to personally believe? I will leave it up to each of you to decide. Please pray about it. Thanks!

With these thoughts I will bring this series to a close. It is my hope that you have found it to be both informative and enlightening, and I pray that it has been a blessing in your life as well. If you have an account with Facebook, Twitter, Tumblr or with any other social network, I would really appreciate if you would take the time to click or tap on the corresponding link that is found on this page. Thanks so much, and may God bless you abundantly!

For additional information, you may want to refer to the list of reading resources below which were also mentioned in this series, or which contain topics that are related to this series, and which are likewise located on the Bill's Bible Basics web server.

America: Land of the Free . . . and Hypocrisy!  
Capture of Saddam Hussein and the New Babylon  
Killing and the Phinehas Priesthood  
Killing, Murder and Military Duty  
Obama, McCain and the Bush Legacy  
Owning Guns: What Saith the Scriptures?  
Saddam Hussein's Execution and the Euro Dollar War  
To Vote, or Not to Vote  
Welcome to Greater Israel, USA!  
Who is the Real Terrorist?  
"Obey the Powers That Be" KJV Bible Verse List  
"Peacemakers or Warmongers" KJV Bible Verse List  
"Worldliness" KJV Bible Verse List

Written by Bill Kochman

[wordweaver777@gmail.com](mailto:wordweaver777@gmail.com)

<https://www.billkochman.com>