

# SADDAM HUSSEIN'S EXECUTION AND THE EURO DOLLAR WAR PT. 1

Copyright 1994 - 2012 Endtime Prophecy Net

Published On : January 4, 2007

Last Updated : February 20, 2012

Execution Of Saddam Hussein, Theories Why Bush Attacked Iraq, Coming New World Order, An International Financial Crisis?, U.S. Dollar Is Fiat Money, Gold And Bretton Woods Agreements, The American - Saudi Arabia Deal, 9-11 And The Saudis Excused, Who Would Be The Losers?, Oil - Dollar Connection, Euro Rises, al-Qaeda's Goal, Iranian Oil Bourse, America Crushed By Euro, European SuperState, Beast Ten Horns, Euro-American Trade War, Kyoto Protocol, Prof Krassimir Petrov, America Demonizes Iran, WMD Wild Card, Fear & Paranoia Tactics, America Attacks Iraq, Gutless United Nations, Bush & America's Belligerent Attitude, George W. Bush Out Of Control, Will America Attack Iran Next?

Allow me to begin this article by sharing a profound truth which you will hopefully begin to realize yourself, -- if you haven't already done so -- by the time that you have finished reading this commentary. Things are never what they seem; especially when it comes to the machinations of the American Government. Some of the things that I have discovered while conducting research for this article, have left me awed and dismayed. They have also left me feeling a bit angry; angry at a manipulative government which has insulted both my and your intelligence, by feeding us lies, because it obviously feels that we are not worthy of the truth. It has not done this one time, but many times, for many years. It is a chronic condition.

As I pen these lines, it was only five days ago that former Iraqi dictator, Saddam Hussein, met his death in a hastily arranged execution, by hanging, for horrible crimes against his own people. While we could delve into the moral issues related to this historic event, i.e., the morality of capital punishment, and the questionable decisions which resulted in his unexpected, sudden demise, that is not the main focus of this article; thus, I will forego discussing it for now. At the current time, the sovereign nation of Iraq finds itself embroiled in a violent, extremely bloody, brutal conflict, which many people, myself included, believe has deteriorated into a full-scale civil war between the Shi'ite and Sunni Muslims of that nation. This development is a tragic result of the unfounded, illegal, poorly-conceived invasion and war, planned and executed by President George W. Bush, and his American co-conspirators.

For more than three years now, similar to other researchers and writers, I have been offering my personal views on our Christian mailing list, as well as in my articles, regarding why the decision was made to attack and invade Iraq. Some of my views can be found in my four-part series "The Capture Of Saddam Hussein And The New Babylon". Some of you are already familiar with some, most or perhaps all of the theories that

have been put forth by myself, and others. For those of you who aren't familiar with them, allow me to list some of the more popular positions which have been discussed to varying degrees:

1. George W. Bush wanted to remove Saddam Hussein's capacity to further produce WMD -- Weapons of Mass Destruction -- and to destroy those which the Bush Administration alleged were already in existence; thus, in Bush's words, preventing a "nuclear mushroom" from ever occurring over America.
2. George W. Bush believed that Saddam Hussein had ties to, and may have been secretly working with al-Qaeda terrorists; thus, attacking Iraq was an extension of the so-called "war against terrorism", which had begun in Afghanistan.
3. George W. Bush wanted to secure control of the Iraqi oil fields which are vital to the American economy, and America's supremacy as a superpower in the world.
4. George W. Bush wanted to avenge the threat that Saddam Hussein had made against his father, George H. W. Bush, and to finish the job that his father had begun during the Gulf War of the early 1990's.
5. George W. Bush wanted to contain Saddam Hussein, as had been done during the Gulf War, and prevent him from upsetting the status quo which has existed in the Middle East.
6. George W. Bush wanted to establish an American military presence in Iraq for a variety of reasons which would all be advantageous to the United States; such as:
  - a. to exert pressure on Iran and keep it under control.
  - b. to exert more pressure on Russia and China.
  - c. to establish another listening post close to Russia.
  - d. to protect oil interests in the Gulf Cooperation Council nations-states-sheikdoms.
  - e. to better protect Israel.
7. George W. Bush wanted to free the Iraqis from Saddam Hussein's cruel grip, and to establish an Arab democracy the Middle East, which would serve as an example to other Arab nations, and hopefully, bring about positive social changes in those nations as well.

With the exception of the WMD argument, which has now been proven to be false in many people's minds, I think that most of the aforementioned theories have merit to some degree or another; but I don't think it would be wise to try to assign a specific value to each of the arguments. As one wise man once said, "A man convinced against his will, is of the same opinion still". Perhaps what we need to ask ourselves, is if these are truly all of the possible reasons why George Bush and his staff made the decision to invade and attack Iraq. As a result of our own preconceived ideas, is it possible that we might be overlooking something? Speaking for myself, I can now say, to my own dismay, that I did in fact miss something. The reason why I overlooked it, is because I was not aware of certain facts, which have now been brought to my attention.

Having said that, allow me to share with you exactly how I

arrived at this new understanding regarding the war in Iraq, the Iranian threat, and related issues. I believe that you will find it rather interesting.

For quite some time now, many months in fact, I've been busy, quietly working on a much-expanded, updated version of my old article "Nature of the Alien". It is far from finished, but I can tell you that it is turning into a very informative and in-depth series, which you'll find to be a major improvement over the original version written about ten years ago. One of the topics which I discuss in the new and improved "Nature Of the Alien" series, is the coming New World Order -- or One World Government, if you prefer -- and the possible events which may spawn and herald its arrival. To many of you, this topic is nothing new; and it is something which I amply talk about in a number of my other articles.

One idea which I, and many other writers have considered, is that the coming "New World Order" may be precipitated by an international crisis. One kind of crisis which has a lot of potential for creating the necessary conditions to usher in a New World Order, is a worldwide financial meltdown. It is a well-known fact, at least with those who study such topics, that a number of national and international financial crashes have been barely averted over the years, as a result of the implementation of certain stop-gap measures. While some of you may not be aware of it, the financial state of the world today is like a house built upon stilts. One of these days, a great flood is going to arrive, which that house simply will not be able to withstand, no matter how many stilts, or stop-gap measures, are used to try to prop it up.

Part of the problem, is that currently, and for a long time now, the full weight of the international financial system has been standing upon one stilt; and that stilt is already weakening, and is in danger of collapsing, as many financial experts already know. I am referring to the American dollar. For over three decades now, as a result of crafty financial manipulation by the U.S. Government, the countries of the world have been pressured into purchasing their much-needed oil reserves in U.S. dollars. This, of course, is extremely advantageous to America, as it props up, or inflates, the value of the American dollar, even though, in reality, the dollar is just about worthless now as a currency.

As I have explained before, the American dollar is what is referred to as "fiat money". It has no real value other than the faith that people place in it, and in the word of their government; because there aren't any actual silver or gold reserves to back it up. It is only worth something because the U.S. Government has declared it, or decreed it -- a fiat -- legal tender. Unlike decades ago, you cannot go down to your local bank and demand a pile of gold for your stack of money. They'll look at you oddly; believe me.

This development came about as a result of conditions which existed following the 1930 Great Depression. With so much money in circulation, the U.S. Government couldn't possibly back it all with actual gold reserves; it was non-existent. So, in 1932, U.S. President Roosevelt took the dollar off of the gold standard, at least as far as the national economy was concerned. However, the governments of foreign countries

could still have their dollars converted back into gold by the US Government, if they so demanded it. This arrangement was a result of the Bretton Woods Agreements, which were agreed upon and signed by forty-four Allied nations at the United Nations Monetary And Financial Conference, which was held at Bretton Woods, New Hampshire in 1944. Known as the Bretton Woods System, it helped to establish the dollar as the currency reserve of the world, and gave it tremendous purchasing power. What also occurred at that time, was the creation of the World Bank, and the International Monetary Fund, which just so happen to be U.S. controlled.

However, in less than thirty years, the American economy had reached a critical stage, as the American Government was no longer able to convert U.S. dollars back into actual gold for the foreign nations that demanded it. In other words, it defaulted on its payments, and was essentially bankrupt. As a result, the Bretton Woods System collapsed. Maybe the US economy itself would have collapsed as well, except for one thing. In 1972-1973, during the Nixon Administration, the U.S. Government took a decisive step which would ensure that the U.S. dollar would remain the world's currency reserve; even though foreign nations realized that they were being forced to accept bogus "fiat money". Exactly what was that step? America made an economically-shrewd deal with Saudi Arabia, whereby Saudi Arabia would only accept American dollars for its oil. In exchange, the U.S. Government would support the al-Saud dynasty, which it has been doing ever since.

As a side note, for those of you who may not be students of history, it may interest you to know that Saudi Arabia hasn't always been called Saudi Arabia. For literally thousands of years, the peninsula was simply known as Arabia. It was only in recent times --1932 -- when the al-Saud family came into power there, by joining the regions of Najd and Hejaz, that it acquired the name of the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia. But the point is, it has been this mutual deal between the United States of America and the al-Saud family, which has directly resulted in the U.S.A. maintaining its financial dominance in the world, has kept wasteful America supplied with most of the oil products that she requires, and has also kept the al-Saud family in power for so many decades, in spite of its corruption. In fact, it is because of the corruption within the Royal Family, that Osama bin Laden gained a following.

There is a lot more to this intriguing story, such as the ties which are said to exist between the oil-oriented Bush family, the al-Saud clan, and the bin Laden construction empire; but I'll leave it up to you to do some research of your own. You may be rather surprised by some of the things that you discover. You may even form some theories of your own concerning why, after more than five years, the Bush administration has still been unable to find or capture its number one enemy, Osama bin Laden. Do they really want to?

Are you starting to get the full picture? Is it possible that this US-Saudi deal, forged back in the early 70's, is the primary reason why the United States of America has never really pursued the Saudis since the tragic events of September 11, 2001, even though fifteen of the nineteen alleged hijackers were in possession of Saudi passports?

This burning question remains to be answered. Exactly who was sending a message to who? It may not be what we think.

Perhaps the answer to these questions can be found by asking another related question. For example, if the Americans went after the Saudis, and the Saudis withdrew their support for the American dollar, who would be the real losers, and who would suffer the most if the world lost faith in the dollar and no longer supported it? At any rate, once Saudi Arabia accepted the American deal, other OPEC nations began to do the same, thus strengthening the American dollar even more. With each passing year, the world's need for oil has only grown greater. At the same time, the price of oil has also continued to increase to record levels. What is the result of this? The need for more oil equates to the need to keep more American dollars in reserve, in order to purchase it.

So it is all about supply and demand. Because there is such a great demand for American dollars, because everyone must buy their oil in dollars, the value of the dollar is artificially inflated and sustained. Even though the U.S.A. is essentially bankrupt, and also the greatest debtor nation on the face of the Earth, the financial game continues, because everyone is forced to buy their oil with dollars. But what if that were to suddenly change, and the U.S. dollar lost its purchasing power? That is precisely what has begun to happen. Although it had a shaky start, the Euro has been gradually gaining in power and financial strength; and it now offers a viable alternative to the U.S. dollar; and you can bet that this development has officials in the USA worried. What is also worrisome to American financiers, is that some OPEC nations have begun to accept Euros for oil purchases.

As you may already know, several years ago, al-Qaeda, and its Arab/Muslim supporters adopted the strategy to destroy the United States by bringing about its financial collapse. Blowing up people and buildings is not going to make this happen, no matter how valuable they may be. Such terrorist activities, regardless of who is really behind them, will cause hiccups in the financial system, and they may reduce national morale -- or do the exact opposite -- but bombing buildings will not result in the collapse of the American financial empire. However, if these Muslim/Arab conspirators can reduce the worldwide need, as well as the demand, for US dollars, with time, that most certainly could have a drastic effect on the American economy.

To this end, in 2005, Iran began an initiative which, if successful, would ultimately convert the global economy from its current dollar base, to a Euro base. Referred to as the IOB, or Iranian Oil Bourse, it's essentially an oil exchange, or oil stock market, which will be based upon the Euro, and not on the American dollar. The proposed location for this exchange is the island of Kish, located in the Persian Gulf. The Iranian Oil Bourse had been projected to open sometime in 2006, but certain bureaucratic issues within the Iranian Government have caused it to be delayed. However, these delays don't mean that it isn't going to happen. In fact, a news article posted on the Bloomberg website in December of 2006 makes it very plain that Iran has full intentions of going ahead with the bourse, and plans to cut the American dollar out of the picture. That article states in part:

----- Begin Quotes -----

"Iran, the world's fourth-largest oil exporter, plans to reduce its use of the U.S. dollar in world trade and increase use of the euro, two Tehran-based newspapers reported."

"The Tehran Times said today Iran has started substituting euros for dollars in oil sales, citing an unidentified person at the Oil Ministry. Iran Daily reported Iran wants to cut its dollar-based transactions to a minimum, citing Minister of Economy Davoud Danesh-Ja'fari."

". . . The dollar touched a 20-month low against the euro this week, and central banks in the Middle East including the United Arab Emirates have plans to convert some of their dollar reserves into euros."

"Exporting nations 'are only holding so many dollars because of all the trade in the currency, but if the trend begins to move out of it, then it's going to be a positive for the euro and add to the negative sentiment on the dollar,' said David Mann, a foreign-exchange strategist at Standard Chartered Bank Plc in Hong Kong."

"Iran has repeatedly said it would limit dollar-based transactions following the U.S. decision in September to block one of Iran's biggest state-owned lenders from doing business with the U.S."

----- End Quotes -----

Please notice, that as of last month -- December, 2006 -- the U.S. dollar had already reached a twenty-month low against the Euro. What is going to happen once the Iranian Oil Bourse is moving at full speed ahead, and more countries begin to exchange their dollars for Euros, in order to purchase their oil from OPEC nations who join the Iranian Oil Bourse?

My friends, if Iran succeeds at this, and other oil-producing Arab/Muslim nations follow suit, and the Euro becomes the new currency reserve of the world, because oil-consuming nations will be forced to purchase their oil reserves in Euros, this development is going to be considerably more explosive, and much more damaging in a political and economic sense, to the United States of America, than the airliners that flew into the World Trade Center over five years ago. Please understand that I'm by no means attempting to belittle the tragic events that befell America that day in September; I am simply trying to help you to understand that the success of the Iranian Oil Bourse could have profound effects on the life of every single American citizen, and could even be the catalyst that reduces the United States of America to a minor power in the world. It probably won't happen overnight, but it could happen. Once a financial slide begins to occur, it is difficult to stop. It is just like a row of dominoes, with each country that rejects the U.S. dollar, being represented by one of those dominoes.

I don't think I need to go into detail regarding what would happen within the United States itself if the dollar were to

suddenly lose its purchasing power. I'm sure you can imagine how such a development would affect the American financial system, how it would affect the job market, or how it would affect the average American's ability to place food on their table, pay their rent and utilities, or take care of other financial responsibilities. Law and order could quickly be replaced by chaos and anarchy. Remember the L.A. riots? Many Americans are used to a life of ease and comfort; at least when compared to the rest of the people in the world. Even some of America's poor people are considered middle class in some other countries. But the point is, when Americans lose their comfort items, they have a propensity for becoming rather irritable; and sometimes irrational and violent. Of course, if this were to happen, it would give the American Government justification to declare martial law and call out the troops. I'll leave the rest to your imagination.

But this is not all. If a Euro-based economy were to evolve from the Iranian initiative, resulting in the collapse of the American Empire, what would it mean for the rest of the world, particularly Europe? To me, the answer seems obvious. The European Union might indeed become a SuperState, and the next world superpower, as a result of the impetus it would receive from the sudden strengthening of the Euro. In short, it would become the new master of the house, with Arab help. For a number of years now, certain Bible scholars have been promoting the belief that the European SuperState will be the "womb" from which the Endtime Beast and Ten Horns will arise, as prophesied in the Bible. While I personally do not fully embrace this view, at least not yet, the arrival of such a SuperState would certainly give their view more credibility.

As you may already know, particularly if you've participated on our Christian mailing list in recent years, for some time now, I've been pointing out the friction that exists between the United States and the European Union. Contrary to what some people may think, the situation is not exactly cordial and rosy. America and Europe have had a considerable number of spats in recent years. Some of these disagreements have been financially oriented. These are the most dangerous kind, since wars are quite often started over trade disagreements. Other Euro-American disputes have been a result of the pushy, belligerent, adamant attitude which has been adopted by the Bush Administration. A case in point is the Kyoto Protocol. It is common knowledge that the failure of this initiative to fully materialize in a timely manner, was in large part a result of the fact that the U.S. Congress has never ratified it. Ironically, the United States happens to be the largest polluter in the entire world, as well as the most wasteful nation.

But returning to our central issue, as I was conducting some online research for the "Nature Of The Alien" series, I came across a very enlightening article that provided a new piece of the puzzle for me. In fact, it also helped me to connect together certain events which we have all witnessed over the past six years. Authored by one Professor Krassimir Petrov in January 2006, the article is called "The Proposed Iranian Oil Bourse". According to online sources, Professor Petrov is an Austrian-born Macro Economist/Investment Strategist. He received his Ph. D. in Economics from Ohio State University in the U.S.A., and now resides in Bulgaria, where he teaches

Macroeconomics, International Finance, and Econometrics at the American University.

Before I share with you the piece of information which helped me to better understand what is happening in the Middle East, and why it is happening, allow me to backtrack just a bit and impart some other information, which is directly related to our discussion; and which in fact will help to confirm what I am about to tell you. As my regular readers know, for quite a few months now, even before it became such a large issue with so many writers, I have been telling my small audience that the U.S.A. was laying plans, in a psychological sense, as well as in a military sense, for a potential war against Iran. I have stated a number of times before that a country cannot legitimately go to war, until it has psychologically prepared its citizens for that war, and won their support.

The minute that Bush began demonizing Iran in his State Of the Union Address in January of 2002, with his talk of the so-called "Axis of Evil", comprised, according to Bush, of Iraq, Iran and North Korea, I began to suspect where he was headed. You didn't have to be a rocket scientist to see the proverbial writing on the wall. Month after month, as Bush and his war gang continued to psychologically manipulate the American public, and began to employ their ever-so-faithful wild card -- the WMD issue -- I became even more convinced of what Bush was up to.

In fact, as some of you may recall, I sat here dismayed, and couldn't believe that Bush had the audacity to play the very same card again, in order to pull the wool over the eyes of the American people once more. But, it really shouldn't come as a surprise to any of us. As I explain in my "Capture Of Saddam Hussein" series, that tactic certainly worked in the case of the war in Iraq. Bush, Cheney, Rumsfeld, Powell and company repeatedly hit the American citizenry so hard with their fear and paranoia tactics -- such as with the infamous "mushroom cloud" over America speech -- that eventually, a substantial portion of American citizens were seeing things Bush's way, and lent their support for his illegal war in Iraq. With Powell as a spokesman, the Bush Administration tried to sell the same WMD ticket to the United Nations, but they saw through the smoke, and were very reluctant to hop onto the "Let's-Get-Saddam-Hussein" bandwagon.

Sadly, as history will record, in the end, the United Nations proved what a powerless, gutless, ineffective body it really is, and turned a blind eye, as the bully U.S.A., along with ever-so-faithful Great Britain, led by Tony Blair, magically created their "coalition of the willing", and then attacked Iraq. As I told our readers in April of 2003, even though the United States was unable to muster up enough support in the U.N. to get the required nine Security Council votes that was needed in order to get the second resolution on Iraq passed, and even though U.N. Secretary-General Kofi Annan begged the U.S. to give the U.N. inspectors more time, they still chose to attack Iraq, based upon mere speculations, and unproven allegations.

For its part, the United Nations went through the motions of pretending to have done all that it could to stop the United States from attacking Iraq, and then it stood helplessly by,



wringing its hands, as the invasion and war began. The U.S. knew that the second resolution was doomed; and that is why in a March 4, 2003 CNN news report, Ari Fleischer, who was at that time the White House's Press Secretary, shared the following comments, in which he let it be known, that the U.N. resolution was now a moot point, and that the U.S.A. was going to do what it wanted to do, regardless of what anyone else thought about it:

----- Begin Quote -----

"The president has said he believes that a vote is desirable. It is not mandatory . . . The president has made clear the outcome, whether the United Nations votes or does not vote, [is] that we will disarm Saddam Hussein with a coalition of the willing."

----- End Quote -----

So to reiterate my point, the truth of the matter is that the United States knew beforehand that it hadn't garnered enough support to secure the vote. Russia's Foreign Minister, Igor Ivanov, had already informed the mass media that Moscow would oppose the new resolution, and that it would use its veto power as a permanent member of the U.N. Security Council, if it became necessary. In the aforementioned CNN news article, Ivanov states:

----- Begin Quote -----

"Russia has this right, and if the situation so demands, Russia will of course use its right of veto -- as an extreme measure -- to avoid the worst development of the situation,"

----- End Quote -----

So as you can see, in reality, the Bush Administration has no respect whatsoever for the opinion of the United Nations. It has displayed this same arrogant attitude many times; while at the same time, it ceaselessly promotes the importance of respecting the rule of law. However, when those laws are in conflict with what the United States wants to do, it chooses to ignore them, proving that it hypocritically lives by a double standard.

The rest, as they say, is history; a very tragic history, as we continue to witness the ugly events transpiring in Iraq to this very day. Well, we know how a lot of Americans must feel now, over three years later, with over three thousand American soldiers dead, and no certain victory in sight in Iraq. They let their voices be heard in the recent November 2006 elections; not that it will have much bearing on what George W. Bush will do during his final two years in office. Rather than disengage from Iraq, as so many Americans have desired, it appears that Mr. Bush has stomped on their vote, worked his "magic" once more, bullied his way through, and convinced the necessary people that close to 20,000, if not more, additional American troops need to be deployed to that war-torn nation. Short of impeachment, it seems that George Bush has become immune to the will of the American people.

But the main point I which to emphasize here, is that Bush is

doing it again; but this time with Iran. For months now, we have watched as the verbal battle has heated up to a fevered pitch. Over and over, American citizens have been told what a dangerous threat Iran will become, if it is permitted to join the nuclear club. Conservative Christians have particularly been the target of Bush's strategy, and are reminded of the serious dangers that Israel would face from a nuclear-armed Iran. Doesn't that sales pitch sound familiar? Wasn't Saddam Hussein painted in the very same light? And how did that WMD fairy-tale turn out? While it is indeed true that Iran hasn't been exactly transparent in its dealings with the U.N. and the International Atomic Energy Agency, the fact is, that to this day, no one has been able to conclusively prove that Iran is determined to manufacture nuclear weapons.

I honestly don't know what Iran's intentions are. Publicly, Iran's president, Mahmoud Ahmadinejad, has denied that his country has any nuclear ambitions whatsoever. He has also made it clear that, based upon the tenets of the Nuclear Non-Proliferation Treaty, his nation has every right in the world to enrich uranium, in order to meet its electricity needs. At the same time, I do know that it is both immoral and unethical, and in contradiction of international norms of behavior, to base a war on mere speculations alone, as occurred when the U.S.A. attacked Iraq. Will Americans allow it to happen again with Iran?

Please go to part two for the continuation of this series.

Written by the WordWeaver

webmaster@endtimeprophecy.net  
<http://www.endtimeprophecy.net>

SADDAM HUSSEIN'S EXECUTION AND THE EURO DOLLAR WAR PT. 2

Copyright 1994 - 2012 Endtime Prophecy Net

Published On : January 4, 2007

Last Updated : February 20, 2012

America's Four-Step War Strategy, Iraqis Hurt By UN Sanctions, Iranian Uranium Enrichment, Israeli Factor, Ecuador & Dollars, Iraq/Iran Threaten America With Oil For Euros, Euro Is A WMD, Regime Change, WMD Deception, Iraq No Threat, US Propaganda, America's Strategy To Divide And Destroy OPEC, Axis Of Evil, Kim Jong Il & North Korea, Muammar el-Qaddafi And Libyan Oil, U.S. Deals With & Finances Dictators, Saddam Hussein's Death

So ask yourself: Why was the U.S.A. so bent on going to war against Iraq, even when international opinion condemned just such an act? And why is the the United States so determined now to give us a repeat performance, but this time with Iran? What's really going on? What are the true motivations behind America's behavior? Now, some of you reading this may say to

yourselves, "Wait a minute! What is all of this talk about the U.S.A. attacking Iran? President Bush has no such plans! In fact, sanctions were just leveled against Iran by the UN."

Excuse me, but are you certain that Bush has no such plans? If you honestly believe that, then I wonder if you've really been paying attention to the news, and using your critical thought processes to their maximum potential. Do you really not see the parallels between how the Bush Administration demonized Saddam Hussein, used the WMD wild card, and then attacked Iraq, and how the same exact tactics are being used against Iran right now? It is the same familiar pattern all over again: discredit, demonize, feign patient diplomacy, and then attack! Here it is again:

Discredit - Demonize - Feign Patient Diplomacy - Attack

Yes, sanctions were just recently implemented against Iran; but wasn't that same action taken against Iraq for many years as well? Didn't the Americans and the British set up no-fly zones over Iraq? In the end, the Iraqi people were hurt more by the sanctions than Saddam Hussein and the Baathists were. I was just looking at an Associated Press news article that I shared with the members of our mailing list in July of 2000. This article clearly states that it was the U.N. sanctions, which were imposed following Saddam's invasion of Kuwait, and the Gulf War, which crippled the economy of Iraq, and drove literally millions of Iraqis into poverty. We can argue that it was Saddam's fault that the sanctions were imposed in the first place, but the truth is, that the United Nations, under American pressure, implemented the sanctions, which resulted in millions of Iraqis suffering:

----- Begin Quote -----

"Saddam made no mention of the U.N. trade sanctions which have crippled the economy and driven millions of Iraqis into poverty. The sanctions were imposed after Iraq's 1990 invasion of Kuwait, which led to the Gulf War."

----- End Quote -----

In spite of the sanctions, and the no-fly zones, is it not true that the USA still ended up attacking Iraq anyway, and against world opinion at that? So what makes you so certain that the current sanctions against Iran are going to deter the Bush Administration from attacking Iran, if and when it feels that the time is right?

You see, despite outward appearances, I suspect that Iran's adamant refusal to discontinue processing its uranium, may be exactly what the United States wants, and may even be working to the US's favor. Why? Because then, just as with Iraq, the United States can go to the U.N., and say "See! The sanctions aren't working; something more drastic needs to be done in order to stop the uranium enrichment being done by Iran."

Let me remind you again that the Bush Administration has repeatedly said, insofar as Iran is concerned, all options are still on the table; and that obviously includes military options. Of course, it doesn't necessarily mean that the US will be the one to crack the whip. As you may have heard on

the news, or read somewhere on the Internet, there has been some talk that Israel may decide to strike first, in order to knock out Iran's uranium enrichment capabilities; just as she took similar action against Iraq, when Israeli Prime Minister Menachem Begin ordered the attack against the Osirak nuclear power plant in 1981. These rumors could turn out to be true, or they may just be a diversionary tactic. Based on what I know now, I suspect that the United States is looking for a more permanent solution to their problem; just as they did with Iraq. Destroying Natanz, for example, won't eliminate the real problem.

You see, what you need to understand is that the uranium enrichment issue is not the real problem; at least I don't think it is. Were WMD the real problem in Iraq, or was that just the red herring; that is, the excuse that America used to attack Iraq? I think most of you already know the answer to that question, or at least you should. So that brings us back around to our main questions again: If WMD wasn't the real problem that the USA was having with Iraq, then what was? And if uranium enrichment, which the USA alleges, will ultimately result in Iran manufacturing nuclear weapons, is not the real problem now, then what is?

What if I were to tell you that the problem, or perhaps I should really be saying the threat, that the United States perceived from Iraq, and now perceives from Iran, are one and the same? What kind of threat could possibly convince the American Government, in spite of world opinion being totally against it, that it must go to war? There is only one logical answer here: the threat of losing its place of dominance in the world. Remember what I told you earlier. America's secret weapon is the billions of US dollars that it has foisted upon the world over the last three decades, as a result of the convenient agreement that it made with Saudi Arabia, and other OPEC nations. As long as the world has been forced to pay for their oil in US dollars, America has remained in charge, and she has pushed her weight around at every given opportunity. The last six years of the Bush Administration are clear proof of this, but it didn't start with Bush by any means. He is just one of the more blatant U.S. presidents to tell the rest of the world what to do.

Let me give you a clear example of this. I was just looking through some old email that was sent out on our mailing list in the early part of the year 2000. I came across one email entitled "US Dollar Official Currency In Ecuador", where I included a news article regarding how Congress had passed a law which would make the U.S. dollar the official currency of Ecuador. What?! Isn't Ecuador a sovereign South American nation? You bet it is; or at least it was. As a result of internal financial problems, Ecuador's local currency, the sucre, was seriously devalued, and the Ecuadorean economy became the most unstable economy in all of Latin America. In an attempt to stabilize the economy, the nation's president decided to "dollarize" the nation. Part of the article says:

----- Begin Quote -----

In a desperate attempt to stabilize South America's most rickety economy, Congress passed a law in early March to phase out the sucre, which lost two-thirds of its value last

year amid a severe recession. The sucre now trades at 25,000 to the dollar, and its rapid devaluation fueled annual inflation rates of 80 percent -- the highest rate in Latin America.

Under the government plan, the dollar will be the main domestic currency, replacing the sucre, which will only remain in circulation for small purchases. As of April 1, Ecuadoreans have found that bank cash machines dispense only greenbacks and not the multicolored sucres -- often filthy and torn.

----- End Quote -----

Without going into a lot of detail, the article explains that some of the reasons why Ecuador fell into financial disarray in the first place, is because of low prices on its primary export -- which is oil -- natural disasters which wrecked the nation's infrastructure -- and its crops -- and its inability to pay its external debts. In other words, as with so many poor nations, it became caught in the trap of owing too much money to the International Monetary Fund, which it couldn't pay back. Let me also remind you that the price of oil is artificially manipulated. In short, the powers-that-be purposely created the problem by wrecking the economy of Ecuador, and then they offered their own solution, and put Ecuador squarely in America's grip. The article also states that many Ecuadoreans were confused, and not happy at all with the sudden switch to a U.S. dollar economy; but what can they do? Absolutely nothing. Ecuador is now another slave of the American Empire, plain and simple.

But let's get back to our discussion regarding Iraq and Iran. So how did Iraq threaten American dominance? What did Saddam Hussein do that caused King George -- Bush -- to say "Off with Saddam's head!"? He did the exact same thing that Iran is doing now. What is Iran doing that is so threatening to the United States? Actually, I have already given you the answer; or at least what I now believe may be the real answer. It is the Iranian Oil Bourse. It's quite possible that I read about this years ago, and just forgot about it; but according to Professor Petrov, and other sources, in late 2000, Saddam Hussein decided, or perhaps "insisted" is a more appropriate word, that he would only accept Euros for Iraqi oil, just as Iran is doing now. In his commentary, Professor Petrov states in part:

----- Begin Quote -----

"The man that actually did demand Euro for his oil was Saddam Hussein in 2000. At first, his demand was met with ridicule, later with neglect, but as it became clearer that he meant business, political pressure was exerted to change his mind. When other countries, like Iran, wanted payment in other currencies, most notably Euro and Yen, the danger to the dollar was clear and present, and a punitive action was in order."

"Bush's Shock-and-Awe in Iraq was not about Saddam's nuclear capabilities, about defending human rights, about spreading democracy, or even about seizing oil fields; it was about defending the dollar, ergo the American Empire. It was about

setting an example that anyone who demanded payment in currencies other than U.S. Dollars would be likewise punished."

----- End Quote -----

As Petrov states, if Saddam would have gotten away with it, other OPEC countries may have very well followed suit. In fact, as you can see, Iran was very quick to hop on the Euro bandwagon, because it wanted to hurt the United States, the "Great Satan", just as bad as Saddam did. As we have already seen, the United States of America simply couldn't allow it to happen; just as it cannot allow it to happen now with Iran; because the success of the Iranian Oil Bourse would eventually result in the destruction of the U.S. economy, and as a by-product, terminate America's dominance in the world.

So that, my friends, is what I now believe, and what others also believe, is the real problem; as it is perceived from America's perspective. It has absolutely nothing to do with real WMD in either Iraq or Iran. In both instances, WMD has only been the red herring that the Bush Administration has used to try to deceive the American people, and fool the rest of the world. The real problem, the real threat to the American Empire, as Professor Petrov referred to it, is the simple fact that both Iraq and Iran chose to convert their economies to the Euro. They decided to accept Euros, instead of U.S. dollars, for their oil exports, and that is a direct threat to the U.S. dollar's current standing as the world's currency reserve. In a February 21, 2003 commentary entitled "Why Black Americans Should Oppose Bush's War -- Of Oil, The Euro And Africa", Dr. Sonja Ebron, CEO of "blackEnergy" says it very well when she tells us that the real WMD in Iraq and Iran, is Euros. She writes:

----- Begin Quote -----

"Enter the real "weapon of mass destruction," the euro. Eleven European countries formed a monetary union around this currency on January 1, 1999; Britain and Norway, the major European oil producers, were conspicuously absent. Due to the strength of European economies, the euro now presents a serious challenge to the dollar in its role as key reserve currency."

"Given the highly leveraged and fragile state of our economy, an OPEC switch from the dollar to the euro would bring a quick and devastating dollar and Wall Street crash that would make 1929 look like a \$50 casino bet."

----- End Quote -----

So what did the United States do to try to stop Saddam from carrying out his plan? News articles from that time period make it very clear what America did. As we saw a moment ago, the Iraqi economy had already been crippled by almost ten years of U.N. sanctions, and millions of people were out of work. In addition to this, the country had been sliced up by the Americans and the British into three sections, with a no-fly zone in the northern sector, and another no-fly zone in the south. In spite of these tactics, Saddam was still in

power. During the same year that Saddam began making demands for Euros in exchange for Iraqi oil -- 2000 -- the American Government, under President William Jefferson Clinton, made it very clear what its intentions were regarding the Iraqi leader. One way or another, they were determined to get him out of office. An Associated Press news article, which I also shared with our mailing list members on August 4, 2000, confirms that the so-called "regime change" plans did not begin with George W. Bush; they began with Bill Clinton:

----- Begin Quote -----

"WASHINGTON (AP) - The 10th anniversary of Iraq's invasion of Kuwait passed with the Clinton administration admitting that many U.S. goals remain unfulfilled, including the ouster of President Saddam Hussein . . . Welch conceded that the February 1991 liberation of Kuwait by a U.S.-led coalition was not the final chapter of the Iraq saga. Saddam has not given up his weapons of mass destruction and continues abusive practices, officials said."

----- End Quote -----

Please also notice from the previous news excerpt, that the WMD deception did not begin with George W. Bush. President Bill Clinton was pushing the very same lie, as a strategy to demonize Saddam Hussein. How could Saddam give up something which he did not possess, as U.N. inspections had clearly shown? George W. Bush just continued the WMD deception, in order to justify the coming war before the eyes of a naive American public. Both U.S. presidents knew that Saddam did not possess WMD, because Mohamed ElBaradei, Hans Blix, Scott Ritter, and other members of the International Atomic Energy Agency had repeatedly told them so. In another news article that I shared with our list readers on August 4, 2000, Scott Ritter stated that Iraq did not pose a threat to any of its neighbors. In late January 2003, Dr. Mohamed ElBaradei, who is the director of the International Atomic Energy Agency, addressed the U.N. Security Council, after having conducted a two-month investigation in Iraq. According to a CNN News report, while elBaradei didn't give Iraq a perfect score, he did conclude his lengthy report with the following remarks:

----- Begin Quote -----

"Mr. President, members of the council, for the past 60 days the inspectors of the International Atomic Energy Agency have been engaged in the process of verifying the existence or absence of a nuclear weapon program in Iraq . . . we have to date found no evidence that Iraq has revived its nuclear weapon program since the elimination of the program in the 1990s."

----- End Quote -----

In spite of these, and other attempts, to convince the U.S., and other members of the United Nations, that Iraq did not possess WMD, from 2000, until the Spring of 2003 when the U.S. invasion of Iraq actually occurred, the United States Government, as well as the British Government, engaged in a concerted effort to convince their constituents of the exact opposite. As I've already stated, the public of both

nations were repeatedly bombarded with sly insinuations, baseless allegations, and carefully crafted disinformation, in order to heighten the levels of fear and paranoia, so that in the end, they would support their governments, and agree to the illegal invasion and destruction of Iraq. It obviously worked.

Dr. Sonja Ebron, who we discussed earlier, likewise mentions America's strategy in her 2003 commentary. Please note that her article was written several months prior to the actual invasion of Iraq. Not pulling any punches, she explains that once the U.S.A. realized how serious Saddam Hussein was regarding switching to the Euro, and realizing how adversely it would affect the American economy if other oil-producing nations were to follow his example, they crafted a strategy to destroy OPEC. She writes:

----- Begin Quote -----

"The U.S. strategy to destroy OPEC is twofold: pressure non-OPEC producers to flood the oil market and retain denomination in dollars in an effort to weaken OPEC's market control, and change the leadership of any country switching oil denomination from the dollar to the euro (hence, the "axis of evil")."

"[The U.S. strategy to destroy OPEC] . . . requires that the U.S. military assert our interests in oil and gas deposits worldwide. U.S. interests in the Caspian Sea have been secured through regime change in Afghanistan and a deal for a new pipeline through that country."

"U.S. interests in southwest Asia are being secured through the planned invasion of Iraq, then Iran (both OPEC members) if it switches oil denomination."

----- End Quote -----

So in essence, according to the American strategy, as viewed by Dr. Ebron and Professor Petrov, any world leader who would attempt to switch their country's economy from a dollar-based economy to a Euro-based economy, would automatically become a target of American aggression, and would also be eligible for elimination, if they didn't back down from their decision. In other words, this was Clinton and Bush's "regime change" plan in action.

As crazy as that may seem to some people, I am convinced that it's exactly what the United States decided to do; and she's proven it by her very own actions. Please also note that in her previous comments, Dr. Ebron states in parentheses "hence, the "axis of evil". As you will recall, earlier we discussed how President George W. Bush assigned that description to the nations of Iraq, Iran and North Korea. According to the Bush propaganda, this is supposedly because all three countries have been illegally striving to create nuclear weapons. As I stated at the very beginning of this article, when it comes to the American Government, things are not always what they seem; and this is clearly a case of that. For years now, the American Government has been feeding us a distorted picture regarding this entire issue. Millions of American citizens are currently convinced that the reason why George



W. Bush has gone after Iraq, Iran and North Korea, that is, their "Axis of Evil", is because of WMD. It's bogus. It's a lie. It's an utter deception being used to hide the truth from all of us.

We have already seen why the U.S.A. has demonized Iraq, Iran and Afghanistan, but how in the world does Kim Jong Il's North Korea fit into the picture? It is not Islamic or Arab; and it is most certainly not an oil exporter; so why has the leader of North Korea been targeted? Personally, I would have never figured it out, but Dr. Ebron's article has established a clear link; and it fits perfectly into everything that we have been discussing. In her same commentary she writes:

----- Begin Quote -----

"Iraq's move to the euro -- and Iran's expected move -- are placing tremendous pressure on OPEC countries and other oil producers to drop our dollar as the main transaction currency for oil."

"Jordan began using euros to buy oil as soon as its major supplier, Iraq, began using them to sell, and North Korea switched to the euro late last year to protest the U.S.'s halt in fuel aid."

----- End Quote -----

You see; exactly what America feared, began to happen as soon as Saddam Hussein made the decision to accept Euros for his oil, instead of U.S. dollars, and that is why they held him accountable, and decided that it was time for him to go. It had nothing to do with any alleged WMD in his country. Iran then followed suit and also decided that it would switch to a Euro-based economy. Jordan likewise made the same decision.

But lo and behold! Look who else decided to start purchasing their oil with Euros instead of dollars in 2002: Kim Jong Il of North Korea. He knew exactly how to hit the USA where it hurts; and that is why he has been blacklisted as part of the US's so-called Axis of Evil. Under U.S. pressure, North Korea was deprived of its fuel aid, and so Kim Jong Il fought back in December of 2002 by dumping the U.S. dollar from the North Korean economy. A BBC news report dated Dec. 1, 2002, with a heading of "North Korea Embraces The Euro", states in part:

----- Begin Quote -----

Communist North Korea has said it will stop using American dollars from Sunday and start using euros instead.

The decision was made soon after a US-led international consortium announced that it was halting fuel aid to the state because of its covert nuclear weapons programme.

No official reason was given for the move but many analysts believe the dollar ban is a direct political response to Washington's decision last month to halt fuel aid to the North because of its nuclear programme."

----- End Quote -----

Of course, that is not the only reason why the United States is so upset with Kim Jong Il. It seems that for some time now, ever-resourceful Kim has been flooding the world money market with counterfeit \$100 bills. In a Dec. 2006 article with the heading "The Plan To Destroy America - Via The Dollar", well-known author Hal Lindsey had this to say:

----- Begin Quote -----

"For years, North Korea's Kim Jong-il has been flooding the global economy with so-called "supernotes" -- counterfeit U.S. \$100 bills so good even Secret Service agents can't tell the difference without conducting sophisticated tests."

"The strategy is to flood the market with counterfeit dollars to deflate its value. Then to convert U.S. holdings to euros, thus pushing the dollar into a deflationary freefall."

----- End Quote -----

All of this makes perfect sense to me. It fits like a hand in a glove. All three nations, Iraq, Iran and North Korea became victims of America's WMD lies and accusations, and all three nations chose to drop the dollar, and move to a Euro-based economy. Do you think that this is just merely a coincidence? I most certainly don't.

In that same article, Lindsey also mentions how China, Iran and Venezuela have each taken great strides to convert their economies to a Euro-based framework, thus hoping to speed up the destruction of the U.S. dollar:

----- Begin Quote -----

"In January 2006, China announced an intention to reduce 75 percent of its foreign exchange reserves currently held in U.S. dollars."

"Since China is the world's second-largest holder of U.S. dollar-denominated foreign-exchange reserves, it has the power to create a catastrophe. At the same time, Venezuela and Iran are now demanding that all payments for oil shipments be paid for in euros -- not dollars.

"In addition, both nations are planning regional central banking schemes designed to hold all foreign exchange holdings of participating countries in euros instead of dollars. This explains why enemy operators, spearheaded by members of the Saudi royal family, have flooded hundreds of millions of dollars into Venezuelan held bearer-bonds that are used to buy as many banks as possible throughout the Caribbean and South American areas.

"All these factors cannot be coincidence. They reveal a concerted, well-coordinated strategy to destroy America through economics."

----- End Quote -----

Well the plot continues to thicken. Those of you who read the news a lot are probably aware of the fact that in May of last

year -- 2006 -- after almost three decades of hostilities, the United States restored full diplomatic ties with the North African nation of Libya. If you are not yet convinced of the arguments I have presented in this article, perhaps this bit of news will push you a little further in my direction. How do you suppose the Bush Administration decided to explain this surprise reversal to the American public? Believe it or not, the U.S. Government's propaganda organ, the mass media, splashed the news that this "wonderful event" had come about as a result of Libya's iron-fisted Islamic dictator, Colonel Muammar el-Qaddafi, who has been in power since 1969, coming to his senses, by renouncing terrorism, and coming over to the "good side".

As you may recall, over the past two decades, Libya has been implicated in a number of terrorist-related activities. In 1986, it was the Berlin discotheque bombing in which two U.S. servicemen died. In 1988, it was the Pan Am Flight 103 attack over Lockerbie, Scotland, in which 270 people perished, 189 of whom were Americans. In 1989, it was a French airliner, UTA Flight 772, in which 171 individuals died in Niger. When Libya refused to acknowledge its crimes, the U.N. Security Council imposed sanctions in 1992, and then again in 1993. In 2003, after ten years of sanctions, Libya supposedly had a change of heart, acknowledged its crimes, and agreed to pay almost three billion dollars in compensation. It also said that it was renouncing terrorism.

As I told my mailing list readers last May, I don't believe the media's story for a minute. There may be some elements of truth to the story, but I think the American decision to restore ties with Libya has very little to do with Libya's so-called "good behavior". Qaddafi is a dictator for life, just like his neighbor, Hosni Mubarak of Egypt, who receives billions of dollars in U.S. aid every year. Qaddafi has been associated with terrorism, which has resulted in hundreds of people losing their lives. Finally, his human rights record is absolutely atrocious. In 2004, the U.S. Department of State listed Libya's human rights record as "poor". In 2005, in its annual report, the Freedom House organization rated political rights in Libya as "7", civil liberties as "7", and gave Libya the freedom rating of "Not Free". Their scale is based upon "1" meaning the most free, and "7" being the least free.

All Middle Eastern dictators, whether it is Saddam Hussein, Hosni Mubarak, Muammar el-Qaddafi, Bashar al-Assad, al-Saud dynasty, King Abdullah, or anyone else, treat their citizens the same. If one overly criticizes the government, they may just disappear for life. In spite of this fact, the American Government openly supports some of these leaders, and pays them millions or billions of dollars a year in financial aid to keep their regimes afloat; and then it turns around and proclaims to the world that it is a defender of human rights, and points the finger at other nations.

This concocted impression of America is an absolute lie. As I've stated many times before, America doesn't really care how a foreign leader runs his country, just as long as that leader is pro-American, and is smart enough to do America's bidding. When you don't, you end up like Saddam Hussein at the end of a rope. Earlier I spoke about how over the years,

certain stop-gap measures have had to be implemented, in order to keep the U.S. economy from crashing. While I was speaking in economic terms, it is safe to say that in the case of Saddam Hussein, the stop-gap measure they resorted to was a permanent solution. He will never be able to defy the U.S. again. Maybe the Shi'ite executioners pulled the lever, but they did it with the U.S.'s tacit consent, and everyone knows it. As Professor Petrov remarked, what the U.S. has done in Iraq is a warning to other would-be rebels.

What really upsets me, is the politically-correct hypocrisy that we are now seeing in the world press. I am by no means a Saddam Hussein fan; but he sat in solitary confinement for three years, and the world hardly peeped a word. Now that he is dead, suddenly, all of these world leaders are lamenting that he was executed under such questionable conditions, and with such haste. I suspect that many of them are only doing this, because they know that it is what is expected of them by the public. They are just playing their roles.

Please go to part three for the continuation of this series.

Written by the WordWeaver

webmaster@endtimeprophecy.net  
<http://www.endtimeprophecy.net>

SADDAM HUSSEIN'S EXECUTION AND THE EURO DOLLAR WAR PT. 3

Copyright 1994 - 2012 Endtime Prophecy Net

Published On : January 4, 2007

Last Updated : February 20, 2012

Muammar el-Qaddafi & Libyan Oil, Bush Admin. Says Not The Oil, Why America Supports Shi'ites, The Real Mission Accomplished, Bush's Disinformation Campaign, Ethnic Cleansing Of Sunnis, Hugo Chávez And Venezuela, OPEC's Membership, Bush Was Linked To Venezuela Coup, Regime Change Integral Part Of U.S. Policy, US Manipulation Via IMF And World Bank, US. Chastises Chávez, Made A Terrorist By Suggestion Alone, Why Bush Hates Chávez, Beyond The Axis Of Evil, Fidel Castro & Cuba, Syria Goes Euro

But let us return to the situation with Libya for a moment. If the United States restored relations with Libya so quickly following Qaddafi's 2003 turnaround, it is because Libya has something that America wants; and I think you already know what that is. I have no doubt that this diplomatic move was all about resurrecting the warm relationship that Libya and the U.S. had decades ago, prior to the 1969 Revolution when Qaddafi came to power. Before that time, Libya was very pro-West, and both the U.S. and the British had bases there. So this was a purely strategic move to re-establish an old oil supplier at a time when America needs it the most. What I find a bit funny, is that the U.S. Government knew exactly

how the rest of the world would react to this announcement; and so right away, it started to deny what was so obvious. In a May 2006 New York Times article, which in glowing terms explains how cooperative Colonel Qaddafi has suddenly become, we find the following interesting quote from David Welch, who is the U.S.'s Assistant Secretary of State for the Middle East:

----- Begin Quote -----

"Libya is a major oil-producing state and a member of OPEC, but David Welch, assistant secretary of state for the Middle East, insisted: 'This decision is not undertaken because Libya has oil. This decision is undertaken because they've addressed our national security concerns.'"

----- End Quote -----

Folks, as far as I am concerned, that is nonsense. The man is blowing smoke in our faces. According to 2003 figures, Libya is the eleventh largest oil exporter in the world. As of September 2006, she was producing 1.7 million barrels of oil a day, which is some 300,000 barrels below Iraq's level. That is precisely why she has been let back into America's fold; and you can bet that Qaddafi is going to sell his oil in American dollars, and not in Euros. In fact, this may be why in the very same news article, it states that "Iran has ridiculed Libya for its reconciliation with the West". Iran wants all OPEC members to sell their oil in Euros, and not in U.S. dollars. Let's not forget that the U.S.A. wants to divide and destroy OPEC, if OPEC nations don't want to sell their oil in U.S. dollars. So by selling his oil in dollars, Qaddafi is working against the other OPEC nations who want to sell their oil in Euros, such as Iraq and Iran. I think there is another nation that may be doing the same thing. I will be discussing it in a moment. So not everyone is happy about this reconciliation. In fact, one woman, who had lost her only child in the Pan Am Flight 103 bombing attack, stated:

----- Begin Quote -----

"Qaddafi has triumphed. This is all done for oil; that's all they care about."

----- End Quote -----

I think she is absolutely right. It's all about the oil, and keeping the U.S. dollar connected to the oil. By the way, I forgot to mention an important fact regarding the outcome of the U.S.A.'s invasion of Iraq. In his article, Professor Petrov also makes this enlightening comment:

----- Begin Quote -----

"Indeed, this is the case: two months after the United States invaded Iraq, the Oil for Food Program was terminated, the Iraqi Euro accounts were switched back to dollars, and oil was sold once again only for U.S. dollars. No longer could the world buy oil from Iraq with Euro."

"Global dollar supremacy was once again restored. Bush

descended victoriously from a fighter jet and declared the mission accomplished - he had successfully defended the U.S. dollar, and thus the American Empire."

----- End Quote -----

That is undoubtedly why, since several years ago, the U.S.A. has been actively supporting the Shi'ites in Iraq, and is in fact still supporting them today; even though their militias and death squads, such as Moktada al-Sadr's Mahdi Army, have been murdering thousands and thousands of Sunnis in Iraq. As we saw earlier, under Saddam Hussein, the Sunnis had begun to sell their oil in Euros; but now, with the Shi'ites in power, the Iraqi oil exchange has been returned to a dollar base. If they are smart, they will leave it that way, unless they want to meet the same fate as Saddam Hussein.

What really caught me by surprise in the previous paragraphs was Professor Petrov's second comment. Like so many other people, I totally missed the real significance of President Bush's "Top Gun" moment back in the Spring of 2003, when he descended from that fighter jet on that air craft carrier. Like other writers, considering how poorly the invasion and war has gone, I criticized, and even mocked Bush, for being so quick to declare the mission accomplished. However, now I am beginning to realize that his words may not have been for us, the general public, at all. His "mission accomplished" message may have been a coded message meant for someone else who is much more powerful than he, who was watching their television set that day. This person, or group of people, would know exactly what the president meant by the phrase.

From our perspective as outsiders to the government's inner workings, who plainly see that civil war has engulfed Iraq, and that three thousand people continue to be killed every month, it most definitely appears like the mission has not really been accomplished, and that America has obtained no victory there. But is it possible that this is because we have never truly understood what the mission was? Bush has thrown all of this disinformation at us for several years now. First we were told that America invaded Iraq to stop Saddam, and to destroy his WMD. Then we were told that the mission was to root out al-Qaeda from Iraq. Then they told us that it was to free the Iraqis, and to bring a semblance of democracy to Iraq.

For more than six years now, we have endured President Bush's disinformation blitzkrieg. So when none of these things came to pass -- no WMD found, al-Qaeda not removed from Iraq, and the Iraqis still not living in a peaceful democracy -- and the situation became increasingly worse, we naturally assumed that Bush had been premature with his "mission accomplished" statement so early in the conflict. Well, it's been more than four years now since the "mission accomplished" remark, and we are still being given a lot of disinformation, and many of us are still wondering what Bush has really accomplished; if anything, besides destroy Iraq, and get a lot of people mad at him.

However, if, as Prof. Petrov suggests, we look at the real mission as being to restore the Iraqi oil exchange to a U.S. dollar base, then President Bush was absolutely correct when

he smiled and said "Mission accomplished!". Even though Iraq is still in a very chaotic state, with so many people being murdered on a daily basis, nevertheless, America did in fact accomplish her mission there. Saddam Hussein was de-throned, and the dollar was restored to power there.

Sadly, the tragedy is that the Iraqi people are the ones who have been paying a terrible price for America's destructive deeds; and thus far, it appears that the Shi'ite politicians who are now in control of the country, are evil thugs who are not much better than Saddam Hussein himself. Right now, while they may not label it as such, ethnic cleansing is occurring in Baghdad and elsewhere in the country; and the U.S. military has not been able to prevent it from happening, in spite of the estimated 130,000 troops that they have in the country. I don't know what else you can call the forceful displacement and murder of thousands of Sunnis, if not ethnic cleansing. It is indeed true that both Shi'ites and Sunnis are currently at each other's throats; but we know who is in power, as well as who is in the minority, and who will thus lose in the end; so when all is said and done, I think a lot of people will agree that we have witnessed ethnic cleansing in Iraq.

As I stated a moment ago, the reason why the United States accepted Libya back into its fold, even though they deny it, is because it needs her oil. What made this become necessary? Quite simply, the Bush administration had a major falling out with Hugo Chávez, who is the outspoken president of Venezuela. Some of you have undoubtedly read about this in the news. The U.S.A. has followed her standard modus operandi, and has gone to great lengths to vilify Chávez before the world. Venezuela is one of the founding members of OPEC. She has also been one of America's major oil suppliers; so it is only logical that if that provider is in danger of being lost, America has to find another reliable replacement. Thus, fellow OPEC member, Libya, has been chosen. Why may Venezuela be lost? Keep on reading, and you'll surely find out.

For your personal knowledge, the current members of OPEC, or "Organization of the Petroleum Exporting Countries", are the following: Saudi Arabia, Iran, Iraq, Kuwait, Venezuela, the United Arab Emirates, Algeria, Nigeria, Angola, Libya, Qatar and Indonesia. Former members, Ecuador and Gabon, would like to rejoin OPEC, and the countries of Syria, Sudan, Bolivia and Mexico are potential future members. According to online sources, OPEC member nations hold about two-thirds of the world's oil reserves, which is a lot of oil. According to 2005 figures, they also accounted for 41.7% of the world's oil production. So you can see why when OPEC talks, everyone sits up and listens. Some nations, like the United States, like to downplay OPEC's overall importance in the scheme of things, but don't let them fool you. If OPEC nations were to severely reduce their oil output, or raise their prices to a significant degree, the whole world would feel it; maybe not as much as during the 1973 Oil Embargo, but still, a lot.

This brings us to another point which you may find rather interesting. As we have already seen, America's strategy has been to forcefully remove from power, in whatever way may be necessary, any world leader who chooses to sell their oil in Euros, or who refuses to support the U.S. dollar by buying their oil reserves in U.S. dollars, instead of in Euros. In

her aforementioned article, Dr. Ebron makes a brief comment about Venezuela. Guess what she says? Consider this:

----- Begin Quote -----

"U.S. interests in South America, despite the failure of the coup in Venezuela (an OPEC member), are being secured via military aid to neighboring Columbia."

----- End Quote -----

As I have mentioned a number of times before to my readers, when the U.S.A. becomes dissatisfied with a particular world leader, she doesn't always go in personally and remove that person through military force. Quite often, she will get her underdogs to do it for her. She will foment civil unrest and revolution in a nation; she will attack its economy; she will do whatever is needed to destabilize the government of that country, until its undesirable leader falls. She will even covertly send in CIA operatives and other troublemakers, in order to mastermind a coup. Are you getting the picture? As Dr. Ebron briefly mentioned, in April of 2002, a failed coup attempt occurred in Venezuela. During the coup, Venezuela's leader, Hugo Chávez, was briefly removed from power, but was shortly restored.

You obviously already know what superpower has a long history of clandestinely meddling in the affairs of Latin America. On a number of occasions, their schemes have come to light and embarrassed the leader of that nation. Shortly after the coup in Venezuela occurred, it was widely reported that the Bush administration had been linked to the overthrow attempt. The Observer of London had this to say regarding the incident in an April 2002 article entitled "Venezuela Coup Linked To Bush Team":

----- Begin Quote -----

The failed coup in Venezuela was closely tied to senior officials in the US government, The Observer has established. They have long histories in the "dirty wars" of the 1980s, and links to death squads working in Central America at that time.

Washington's involvement in the turbulent events that briefly removed left-wing leader Hugo Chavez from power last weekend resurrects fears about US ambitions in the hemisphere.

It also deepens doubts about policy in the region being made by appointees to the Bush administration, all of whom owe their careers to serving in the dirty wars under President Reagan.

One of them, Elliot Abrams, who gave a nod to the attempted Venezuelan coup, has a conviction for misleading Congress over the infamous Iran-Contra affair.

The Bush administration has tried to distance itself from the coup. It immediately endorsed the new government under businessman Pedro Carmona. But the coup was sent dramatically into reverse after 48 hours.



Now officials at the Organisation of American States and other diplomatic sources, talking to The Observer, assert that the US administration was not only aware the coup was about to take place, but had sanctioned it, presuming it to be destined for success.

----- End Quote -----

This is the kind of aggressive American leadership that the world is now forced to deal with. Regime change is obviously an integral part of American strategy, whenever it deems it necessary, with no regard for the sovereignty of any nation. As leaders such as Slobodan Milosevic, Saddam Hussein, Hugo Chávez, Kim Jong Il and many others have learned, no one is immune to the long arm of American Imperialism.

While the American Government will sometimes resort to more overt means in order to push its weight around in another country, this isn't always necessary. As we've already seen, this is due to the power of the US dollar, and the hold that it has on so many countries. The truth is, that through the financial institutions which it controls, e.g. WB, IMF, the U.S. already controls a large portion of Latin America. You will recall the story I shared earlier regarding Ecuador. She isn't the only Latin American country who has become a slave of the International Monetary Fund and the World Bank; but some nations, like Venezuela, do fight back.

As I was reading the article concerning the reconciliation between the United States and Libya, I really had to laugh, because while praising Colonel Qaddafi and Libya, and even letting us know that Libya has now been removed from their list of state sponsors of terrorism, the US Administration used this event as a vehicle to also preach at and condemn Venezuela, Iran and North Korea. With the heading of "U.S. Will Restore Diplomatic Links With The Libyans", the May 16, 2006 New York Times article stated among other things:

----- Begin Quotes -----

"Libya is an important model as nations around the world press for changes in behavior by the Iranian and North Korean regimes," Secretary of State Condoleezza Rice said. Hers was just one of several similar statements on Monday from senior officials who worked hard to turn Libya's change in behavior into a lesson for Iran as a resolution on Iran's nuclear development program remains stalled in the United Nations Security Council."

"While lifting sanctions on Libya, the United States on Monday listed Venezuela as a country that is not cooperating on terrorism. The State Department said the Venezuelan president, Hugo Chávez, had given oratorical support to Iran and to the Iraqi insurgency and had provided aid to insurgents involved in drug trafficking in Colombia."

"Nobody is saying that Venezuela is actively sponsoring terrorism," said a State Department official speaking on condition of anonymity under department rules. "But Venezuela has clearly shown a lack of interest in working with us in combating it."

"The listing of Venezuela means that the United States cannot sell it military equipment, but the officials said such sales are negligible now."

----- End Quotes -----

Okay, do you realize what you just read? Do you understand why the US Government mentions Venezuela in the article? If not, let me tell you. They are using the very same strategy that we have been discussing throughout this series. That article is purposely meant to brainwash the American public, and plant in their minds, a connection between Venezuela and terrorism, plain and simple. Do you see how subtle they are being? "Oh, we're not saying that Venezuela is a terrorist nation; we're just saying that Hugo Chávez isn't helping us to combat terrorism". You see, that is enough. That is all that they have to do. Rumor, speculation and imagination will do the rest of the work for them. They have planted the suggestion in people's heads; and now, before long, a lot of Americans, when they hear the name Hugo Chávez or Venezuela, are going to equate him, and his nation, with terrorism. He will become blacklisted, even though he has done nothing remotely related to terrorism.

But is that really the reason why the American Government is angry with Hugo Chávez? Is it because he is either supportive of, or in some way connected to, terrorism? After reading so much of this series, I hope you realize that it isn't. Well, what is the real reason then? If you ask me, it is the very same reason that the U.S. condemned Iraq, Iran, Afghanistan and North Korea. It is all about OPEC oil, and who is buying or selling oil in dollars or Euros. In this case, you already know that Venezuela is an OPEC member nation; and in fact, a founding member; which means that it has some say regarding OPEC pricing and exportation policies. We have also learned that together with Iran, Venezuela has been pushing for the world to adopt the Euro as its new currency reserve, instead of the dollar. The following excerpts, taken from a BBS news article, dated Dec. 22, 2006 and entitled "Venezuela Mulls Euro Oil Switch", confirms what Hal Lindsey stated earlier:

----- Begin Quotes -----

"Venezuela has expressed interest in an Iranian move to ask buyers to pay for oil in euros rather than US dollars . . . The oil-rich nation said it planned to see if a similar scheme could be introduced to its crude exports . . . Iran, the world's fourth-biggest oil producer, has already asked customers to pay for its oil in euros because of the current weakness of the dollar . . . Although the dollar is the currency in which oil is usually traded, it has been falling in value against the euro . . . The US currency tumbled to 20-month lows against the single European currency earlier this month . . . Iran still prices its oil in dollars, but currently receives payment for 57% of its crude exports in euros, according to the National Iranian Oil Company . . . Venezuela's energy minister Rafael Ramirez described the Iranian scheme as 'very interesting'."

----- End Quotes -----

The Bloomberg news site also provides us with some pertinent

information regarding this same issue. In fact, it expands, and states that oil producers from the United Arab Emirates to Indonesia, and even Russia, are likewise in the process of switching to a Euro-based economy, instead of one based upon the US dollar. Consider the following information from a December 18, 2006 article called "Venezuela, Oil Producers Buy Euro as Dollar, Oil Fall". Please also notice that Hugo Chávez first proposed the Euro switch at an OPEC meeting this past June. That is why the U.S. Government has been bad-mouthing him so much in the American press in recent months:

----- Begin Quotes -----

Venezuelan leader Hugo Chávez is directing a growing share of the country's oil profits into euros as the dollar and crude prices fall.

The dollar, down 9.5 percent against the euro this year, may face more pressure in 2007 because Venezuela and oil producers from the United Arab Emirates to Indonesia plan to funnel more money into the single European currency.

Bank Indonesia is boosting euro holdings, said Senior Deputy Governor Miranda S Goeltom in a Dec. 13 interview in Jakarta. Indonesia has \$39.9 billion in reserves. Sultan Bin Nasser al-Suwaidi, the governor of the Central Bank of the UAE, last month said he was considering when to shift as much as 8 percent of the nation's \$24.9 billion in reserves into euros.

The share of foreign-exchange deposits held in dollars by OPEC members and Russia, the largest non-OPEC oil exporter, fell to a two-year low of 65 percent during the second quarter, from 67 percent during the previous three months, Bank for International Settlements figures released last week show.

Chávez called on OPEC to sell oil denominated in euros rather than dollars at a meeting of the group in Caracas on June 1, supporting a proposal made by Iran.

OPEC members and Russia increased the percentage of their foreign-exchange deposits held in euros to 22 percent in the second quarter from 20 percent, the BIS said. By contrast, the global average is about a third, according to the Basel, Switzerland-based bank.

Oil states will probably buy the European currency at a faster rate to bring their reserves closer in line with other nations, according to David Durrant at Julius Baer Investment Management in New York.

----- End Quotes -----

So to reiterate, the primary reason why the United States has been bad-mouthing Hugo Chávez, and trying to make him appear as a friend of terrorists, is because not only does he have tons of oil, a lot of which is imported by the U.S., but he is also now demanding that he be paid for it in Euros, instead of dollars. Furthermore, he is in the forefront of the move to encourage other nations to also adopt the Euro as the currency

reserve of the oil markets. The Bush Administration's hatred of Chávez has absolutely nothing to do with real WMD, or real terrorism. It is a blatant lie, and they know it. Following are some more quotes from Lindsey's article which explains in clear terms, exactly how powerful Chávez is becoming, as a result of Venezuela's oil reserves:

----- Begin Quote -----

"I believe oil is being used in this same overall strategy against America. Last October, OPEC agreed to reduce production to keep oil prices up near \$60 per barrel. The price was suggested by Hugo Chavez after consultation with his friends in Tehran and Moscow and accepted by OPEC."

"With that one brilliant maneuver, Chavez cornered the global oil market. Venezuela has vast deposits of heavy oil in the Orinoco, but heavy oil is expensive to extract and refine at free-market prices. However, at \$60 per barrel, the Orinoco reserves give Venezuela the largest proven oil reserves in the world."

"Not just larger than the vast reserves of Iran or Saudi Arabia, it is larger than both of them put together. In fact, it is bigger than all the proven oil reserves in the Middle East combined. Venezuela's deposits alone could extend the oil age for another 100 years."

"Hugo Chavez is raking in some \$200 million a day in oil sales, most of it from the United States. If Chavez demands payment in euros, it will throw the whole U.S. economy into a crisis."

"Amazingly, Hugo Chavez has now become the go-to guy for all of OPEC. He's held audience with every oil sheik and dictator in the Middle East, including our "friends" the Saudis and Kuwaitis. With the recent re-election of Chavez, the U.S. is ringed by five of the most virulently anti-American leftist regimes in Latin America."

----- End Quote -----

By now, I hope you can see how the United States of America has used its so-called "War Against Terrorism" to cloak what it is really doing around the world. When you see it vilify a nation or leader, you really need to ask yourself why it is doing it. Is it really for the stated reasons? Let me give you one more clear example, so you can see again how obvious this pattern is. As you are already aware, in his State Of the Union Address in January of 2002, President Bush referred to Iran, Iraq and North Korea as the "Axis of Evil". Are you aware of the fact that there is actually an appended list which people refer to as the "Beyond The Axis Of Evil" list? This expanded list receives its name from a speech that US Under Secretary of State, John Bolton, gave in May of 2002 which was entitled "Beyond The Axis Of Evil". Following are some excerpts from a news article which was published by the BBC on May 6, 2002:

----- Begin Quotes -----

The United States has added Cuba, Libya and Syria to the

nations it claims are deliberately seeking to obtain chemical or biological weapons.

In a speech entitled "Beyond the Axis of Evil", US Under Secretary of State, John Bolton said that the three nations could be grouped with other so-called "rogue states" - Iraq, Iran and North Korea - in actively attempting to develop weapons of mass destruction.

He also warned that the US would take action.

"America is determined to prevent the next wave of terror," he said, referring to the 11 September attacks in Washington and New York that killed up to 3,000 people.

"States that sponsor terror and pursue WMD (weapons of mass destruction) must stop. States that renounce terror and abandon WMD can become part of our effort, but those that do not can expect to become our targets," he said.

----- End Quotes -----

Boy, their list just keeps getting bigger and bigger! This news is from 2002, so please don't forget that Libya made its alleged turnaround in 2003, and is now buddy-buddy with the USA again. Cuba is still on the U.S.'s bad guy list, but that may change in the not too distant future; being as Fidel Castro has been very sick, some say near death, and may not last much longer. Even if his brother Raul takes over when Fidel dies, Raul isn't exactly young, and can't last much longer either; so there may possibly be a bright future for the people of Cuba soon. But here is the interesting point about Cuba. Guess where she buys here oil; from her good old friend Hugo Chávez of Venezuela; the very same man who has been pushing for the dollar to Euro switch.

Let's look at the last country on the so-called "Beyond The Axis Of Evil" list compiled by the US: Syria. Currently, she is not a member of OPEC; however, Syria still needs to make financial decisions regarding its imports and exports. As with the other nations we have looked at, the U.S.A. has been bad-mouthing Syria for years now. However, since 2002, just like Iraq, Iran, and North Korea, it is now accused of making attempts to acquire Weapons of Mass Destruction. By now, I suppose you already know what I am going to say next, so let me just give you the facts. Yes, folks, Syria is also converting its economy to the Euro. Actually, Syria made this decision sometime last year. In a news article I found on the "Gold Anti-Trust Action Committee" website", dated July 11, 2006, it also mentions that Russia, Finland Sweden, Kuwait, Qatar and the United Arab Emirates are also converting their economies to the Euro as well. Following are a few quotes from the article entitled "Syria Moves Reserves To Euros And Will End Dollar Peg This Year":

----- Begin Quotes -----

Syria, accused by the U.S. of supporting terrorism, plans to end its currency peg to the dollar by December to reflect closer trade ties with Europe, central bank Governor Adib Mayaleh said.

The Central Bank of Syria has already converted half its foreign-exchange reserves to euros, Mayaleh said in a telephone interview from Damascus, without being more specific.

"We want to have a currency peg that will reflect our external trade," Mayaleh said yesterday. The European Union is Syria's largest trading partner, taking half of its exports, he said. Italy and France are the biggest destinations for Syrian goods abroad, according to data published by the CIA fact book.

Central bankers from Kuwait, Qatar, the United Arab Emirates, Russia, Sweden, and Finland have this year indicated they aim to diversify their reserves away from the dollar.

The Syrian government is "studying options" with regard to ending the dollar peg, Abdallah Dardari, the country's deputy prime minister for economic affairs, told reporters on the sidelines of a conference in Damascus on June 10.

----- End Quotes -----

Please go to part four for the continuation of this series.

Written by the WordWeaver

webmaster@endtimeprophecy.net  
<http://www.endtimeprophecy.net>

SADDAM HUSSEIN'S EXECUTION AND THE EURO DOLLAR WAR PT. 4

Copyright 1994 - 2012 Endtime Prophecy Net

Published On : January 4, 2007

Last Updated : February 20, 2012

Worrisome Future Of US Dollar, Gradual Euro-ization, Close Bond Between Europe And Middle East, America Fights To Save Her Empire, U.S.'s Smokescreen Of Deception, Regime Change, It's An Oil - Dollar - Euro War, The Euro Is The Real WMD, Shah Of Iran, The Islamic Revolution, US Historical Pattern, America's Demonization Of Iran's Leadership, Natanz Debate, Fruit Of US's Arrogance, Polarization Between Dollar & Euro, America Is A Rapacious Eagle, Who Is The Real Terrorist?

With all of this information, it isn't difficult to see that many nations are starting to become worried about the future of the U.S. dollar. In fact, they have been worried for quite some time. As the previous news clippings clearly point out, many leaders are betting on the winning horse, and have begun to slowly abandon the dollar, in favor of the Euro as the new currency reserve of the world. Incidentally, Malaysia has also begun to transfer her market reserves to the Euro, and there

are undoubtedly other nations as well which I've overlooked. Please understand that Euro-ization is a process in motion, and that nations have to make financial decisions on a daily basis, based upon the current situation of the money and oil markets. Thus, we can expect to see other nations move over to the Euro as well, as it continues to gain power against the dollar.

In reality, Euro-ization is a natural flow of events; because as a result of their oil requirements, trade agreements, and their geographical location, the nations of Europe have long enjoyed a close relationship with the oil-producing nations of the Middle East; much more so than the United States has, which is an outsider. At any rate, this current migration to the Euro, or Euro-ization as I call it, cannot bode well for the United States of America by any means; thus, she's begun to strike back. She will not let her dollar empire crumble so easily.

So now can you understand why the U.S. Government has been so desperate for "regime change" in Iraq, Iran, Afghanistan, Syria, North Korea, Venezuela and elsewhere? Do you see how it has lied to the world, and to the American people? Do you see how the Bush Administration has followed a very specific consistent pattern, and has repeatedly, and intentionally, tried to connect its perceived enemies to WMD and terrorism, even when no such connections exist? The United States of America has woven a smokescreen of deception, in order to conceal what is really going on; and what is really going on, is an oil - dollar - Euro war; which will determine the fate of America's future. America is fighting to save her empire; an empire built upon the U.S. dollar, and not upon the Euro. The Euro, as Dr. Ebron pointed out, is the real WMD. It is the Weapon of Mass Destruction that will destroy America's financial empire, unless America can destroy her enemies first. If anyone is terrorized right now, it is the U.S. Government. Perhaps they see the handwriting on the wall.

Attacking or vilifying the above noted countries has nothing to do with 9-11, WMD or the so-called War Against Terrorism. It is all about protecting the American economy, and the oil. The United States has followed this policy for many decades. Let me remind you that prior to the 1979 Iranian Revolution, America had another puppet leader installed in Iran. Some of you are old enough to remember the man. He was the Shah of Iran, formally known as Mohammad Reza Shah Pahlavi. He was an American puppet who allowed all kinds of Western attitudes into Iran, which is why Ayatollah Rouhollah Khomeini and his followers overthrew him in 1979 with the Islamic Revolution. So please don't forget the pattern, or the strategy, that led up to the invasion of Iraq, not quite four years ago. Hopefully, it will help you to recognize how this pattern is now being repeated, in order to prepare the American people for a potential war with Iran; and who knows who will be America's next victim after that.

Ironically, even before the invasion of Iraq had occurred, the American Government had already put Iran on its radar screen, and had begun to demonize it and its leadership, just as it had done with Saddam Hussein. As a clear example of this, consider that an article published in the New York

Times on February 22, 2003, stated the following:

----- Begin Quote -----

"American officials believe Natanz is part of a long suspected nuclear weapons program, an Iranian project that American intelligence believes has benefited from Pakistani assistance and that is far more advanced than the effort by Iraq."

"Iran will attempt to justify Natanz as part of its civilian nuclear power program, but it is actually an effort to develop a nuclear weapons breakout capability," said Gary Samore, director of studies at the International Institute for Strategic Studies and former expert on proliferation on President Bill Clinton's National Security Council."

----- End Quote -----

Please carefully notice what kinds of verbal strategies are being employed in the previous statements. American officials "believe". Uh-oh . . . Belief is not proof, is it? We can all believe a lot of things, but it doesn't necessarily mean that they are true. Then we are told that these American officials have "long suspected" that Natanz is part of a nuclear weapons program. Again, just having a suspicion isn't proof of anything, and does not make it so. Despite this obvious lack of solid evidence, in the second paragraph, this fellow Samore, who we are told is an expert in his field, has the audacity to try to tell us exactly what Natanz is; and he obviously expects us to believe him, even though he hasn't offered us a single solid shred of evidence. We are supposed to accept that Natanz is "an effort to develop a nuclear weapons breakout capability", just because he says so. We are supposed to believe him because he is the expert.

Let me also add, that just as the U.S. and Britain turned their people against Saddam Hussein, they have been taking steps to do the very same thing with Ayatollah Seyed Ali Khamenei, Iran's supreme spiritual leader, as well as with Mahmoud Ahmadinejad, Iran's current radical president. In the case of Khamenei, the American mass media has painted him as a dangerous, power-hungry, Muslim extremist; and in the case of Mahmoud Ahmadinejad, we are purposely given the impression that he is just an anti-semitic kook who is not worthy of the American Government's attention. In their own arrogance, the Bush administration considers Ahmadinejad a joke, and they won't even acknowledge any of his comments or diplomatic gestures. Speaking of America's arrogance, and the heavy-handed strategies that the Bush Administration has been employing in order to maintain America's dominance in the world, in her article, Dr. Ebron offers a very wise warning which rings true. She states:

----- Begin Quote -----

"Far from staving off disaster, our arrogance may instead compel OPEC to "go euro" en masse, taking many oil-consuming nations with them by force of economics. And a trade war with Europe will lend the coup de grace to our economy."

----- End Quote -----



This is precisely what has begun to happen. The Euro shift has begun; the machine is in motion and building up speed, and the U.S.A. may not be able to stop it. From reading the above news articles -- and there are many more that you can read online -- it becomes obvious to me that at this moment, a polarization is occurring, between those who will side with America and the U.S. dollar, and those who will side with Europe, the OPEC nations and the Euro. The lines are being clearly drawn.

As I have explained to my readers a number of times before, I am absolutely convinced that the United States of America will do anything within its power, to destroy any country which stands in its way, or dares to threaten its position as a global superpower. Just like a merciless eagle, the American Government will rip apart and utterly destroy any competitor; militarily, as well as socially and financially; but it will disguise its vile actions, and portray them as being something entirely different; just as we have now seen with this WMD, "War Against Terrorism", dollar versus Euro war.

Let me say again that things are not always what they appear to be on the surface; especially when it comes to the U.S. Government's foreign policy. The "War Against Terrorism" is a cleverly-crafted charade, and a rather convincing one at that. Even the phrases "WMD" and "War Against Terrorism" seem to be code words that only those in-the-know will understand. They aren't meant to define real nuclear weapons, but rather the worst weapon that the United States fears most of all: the Euro. The Euro has the potential to bring proud, mighty America to her knees; and it is for this reason that the US Government has embarked on her current course of destroying any world leader who embraces the Euros-For-Oil program. If you doubt my words, just look at the hellhole that is now the once proud nation of Iraq, whose rulers once built one of the eight wonders of the world.

And ask yourself: Who is the real terrorist? Who is really spreading terror, death and destruction all around the world, at the expense of others, as she frantically tries to save herself from her slow demise? Blessed are the peacemakers!

Please go to part five for the conclusion of this series.

Written by the WordWeaver

webmaster@endtimeprophecy.net  
<http://www.endtimeprophecy.net>

SADDAM HUSSEIN'S EXECUTION AND THE EURO DOLLAR WAR PT. 5

Copyright 1994 - 2012 Endtime Prophecy Net

Published On : January 4, 2007

Last Updated : February 20, 2012

What nations or entities currently use the Euro?

Following is a list of nations, etc., which:

- a. already use the Euro as their primary currency
- b. or, which have exchange rate agreements with the Euro
- c. or, which have already begun the transition from a dollar-based, to a Euro-based economy
- d. or, which have publicly announced their intentions to build up their Euro reserves
- e. or, which purchase their oil in Euros
- f. or, which keep a certain percentage of their national currency reserve in Euros.

The following list is constantly evolving, and may not be complete at the time that you read this. Nevertheless, it is easy to see that the Euro has steadily advanced, since its creation in 1999. When the Euro-based economies reach a critical mass, the U.S. dollar will explode, and the Euro will become the de facto reserve currency of the world, which will be used to make most oil purchases.

Andorra	Guadalupe (Caribbean)	Pakistan
Australia	Herzegovina	Portugal
Austria	Hungary	Qatar
Belgium	Indonesia	Reunion (Indian Ocean)
Bosnia-Herzegovina	Iran	Romania
Botswana	Ireland	Russia
Bulgaria	Israel	Saint-Pierre-et-Miquelon
Canada	Italy	San Marino
Canary Islands	Jordan	Saudi Arabia
Cape Verde	Kosovo	Serbia
CFA Franc Zone	Kuwait	Seychelles
China	Latvia	Slovakia
Comoros Islands	Libya	Spain
Croatia	Lithuania	Sweden
Cuba	Luxembourg	Syria
Cyprus	Macedonia	The Netherlands
Czech Republic	Madeira	The Vatican
Denmark	Malaysia	Tunisia
Estonia	Malta	United Arab Emirates
Finland	Martinique	United Kingdom
France	Mayotte	United States
French Guyana	Monaco	Vanuatu
FYR Macedonia	Montenegro	Venezuela
Germany	Morocco	Yugoslavia
Greece	North Korea	

Written by the WordWeaver

webmaster@endtimeprophecy.net  
<http://www.endtimeprophecy.net>